

Journal of Scientific Research and Reports

Volume 30, Issue 6, Page 943-954, 2024; Article no.JSRR.117403 ISSN: 2320-0227

# Combining Ability and Heterosis in Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Inbred Lines

# Deepak Kumar Mishra<sup>a</sup>, Birender Singh<sup>a</sup>, Manigopa Chakraborty<sup>b</sup>, Nutan Verma<sup>b</sup>, Supriya Supal Surin<sup>b</sup> and Sumit Kumar Mishra<sup>b\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour-813210, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India. <sup>b</sup> Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Birsa Agricultural University, Kanke-834006, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i62112

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/117403

**Original Research Article** 

Received: 28/03/2024 Accepted: 31/05/2024 Published: 07/06/2024

## ABSTRACT

The present study was framed to determine the combining ability and the extent of heterosis in maize using line × tester design at maize section, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur. Crosses were made involving ten lines and three testers in Rabi, 2019-20 for the study. 30 Crosses along with 13 parents and 2 checks were evaluated in randomized block design with two replications during kharif, 2020. The hybrids DHM 117 and SHM 1 were used as checks. Data were recorded on 13 morphological traits. The contribution of lines is found to be greater than that of the testers for most of the characters studied. On the basis of GCA effects, the lines VL109476, VL109475, VL1010848, VL109479 and tester VP15295 were identified as good combiners for grain yield, whereas the line VL1017524 and the tester SML-1 were also identified as good combiner in terms of earliness. These can be used as parents in multiple hybridization programme. On the basis

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: me.robertkoach@gmail.com;

Cite as: Mishra, Deepak Kumar, Birender Singh, Manigopa Chakraborty, Nutan Verma, Supriya Supal Surin, and Sumit Kumar Mishra. 2024. "Combining Ability and Heterosis in Maize (Zea Mays L.) Inbred Lines". Journal of Scientific Research and Reports 30 (6):943-54. https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i62112. of SCA effects, the crosses VL109476 x V P15295, VL1010763 x VP15295, VL1018419 x VP15295 and VL109475 x SML-1 were identified as good specific combiners for grain yield, whereas on the basis of standard heterosis VL109476 x V P15295, VL1010763 x VP15295, VL109475 x SML-1 and VL109479 x SML-1 were superior to the better check in grain yield. The identified hybrids may be tested on large scale multi-location trial and in farmers' field before commercial utilization.

Keywords: Combining ability; heterosis; GCA; SCA; grain yield; cereal crop; Rice; biodiversity.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

"Maize (Zea mays L.) is a high yielding cereal crop and has key importance in assuring food security to the teeming population [1]. It possesses somatic chromosome number of 20, a genome size of 2.3 gigabase and more than 32,000 genes [2]. It is a member of the grass family Poaceae, tribe Maydeae and one of the oldest cultivated crops" [3]. The name maize is derived from a South American Indian Arawak-Carib word "Mahiz" and its centre of origin considered to be Central America (Mexico) [4]. "Maize is grown at latitudes ranging from the equator to approximately 50° North and South, and at altitudes ranging from sea level to over 3,000 meters elevation. This reflects its ability to adapt to a wide range of production environments, under temperatures ranging from extremely cold to very hot, under moisture regimes ranging from extremely wet to semi-arid" [5].

"In India, maize is the third most important cereal crop after Rice and Wheat, that provides food, feed, fodder, fuel and also serves as a source of basic raw material for a number of industrial products viz., starch, oil, protein, alcoholic beverages, food sweeteners, cosmetics and biofuel etc. It is cultivated in nearly 201 m ha with a production of 1162 m tonnes and productivity of 5754.7 kg/ha all over the world, having wider diversity of soil, climate, biodiversity and management practices" (FAOSTAT 2021). "India ranks 4th in terms of global maize acreage and 6th in production with 31.51 million tonnes and area of 9.9 million hectares in 2020-21, whereas in kharif 2021-22, maize production was 21.24 million tonnes in an area of 8.15 million hectares" (agricoop.nic). "United States of America (USA) is the largest producer of maize contributing 30 per cent of the global production and is regarded as the driver of the US economy. In this context developing high yielding late maturity hybrids which can compete with rice with full productive potentiality and profitability are required. Maize in the tropics is continually exposed to different forms of stresses and variations within the seasons. This may be partly due to global climatic changes, partly due to displacement of maize to more difficult production environments by high value crops, and partly due to declining soil organic matter reducing soil fertility and water holding capacity. Research efforts in the public sector institution has achieved limited success in developing high yielding early maturing hybrids. Choice of germplasm is essential for successful development of high yielding genotypes through suitable breeding programs. Genetic relationship among different genotypes is one of the important parameter which provides essential information regarding choice of germplasm to develop new genotypes from breeding populations" [6].

"The hybrid breeding is vital to select the cross combinations with high degree of SCA as well as parents with high GCA. Combining ability is an important tool in identifying the best combiners for hybridization especially, when more numbers of advance inbred lines are available and most promising are to be selected on the basis of their ability to give superior hybrids. GCA & SCA are due to genes which shows additive and dominance or epistatic effects respectively" [6]. Information on heterotic patterns and combining ability among maize germplasm is basic in improving the effectiveness of hvbrid development. Development of commercial maize hybrid generally requires a good knowledge of combining ability of the breeding materials to be used. The result of commercial production of hybrid maize depends up on the availability of productive diverse inbred lines and clear knowledge of gene action for specific traits. Eventually, the present investigation was undertaken to study the combining ability and estimate the extent of heterosis.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

"In Rabi, 2019-20 the experiment was planned in a line × tester mating pattern and 30 crosses were made. In Kharif, 2020 all 30 crosses along with their 13 parents and 2 checks were grown in Randomized Block Design with two replications and were evaluated. After land preparation, sowing was done in July 2020. Seeds were sown by hand dibbling with two seeds per hill and afterwards thinned to one plant per hill after emergence to attain an optimum plant population density. Each entry was planted in plot consisting of two rows of 5m length and plot size of 6.0 m<sup>2</sup>. Observations were recorded on plot basis for days to 50 per cent tasseling, days to 50 per cent silking, anthesis – silking interval, days to 75 per cent brown husk, plant height, ear height, cob length, cob girth, number of rows per cob, number of grains per row, test weight, shelling percentage and grain yield at 15% moisture from five randomly selected plants from each plot.

Table 1. Details of lines, testers and checks

S. No.	Code	Name	Source
1	L1	VL1016537	CIMMYT, Hyderabad
2	L <sub>2</sub>	VL109476	CIMMYT, Hyderabad
3	L <sub>3</sub>	VL1010763	CIMMYT, Hyderabad
4	$L_4$	VL1018419	CIMMYT, Hyderabad
5	$L_5$	VL109475	CIMMYT, Hyderabad
6	$L_6$	VL1017055	CIMMYT, Hyderabad
7	$L_7$	VL109353	CIMMYT, Hyderabad
8	L <sub>8</sub>	VL1010848	CIMMYT, Hyderabad
9	L9	VL109479	CIMMYT, Hyderabad
10	L10	VL1017524	CIMMYT, Hyderabad
11	T <sub>1</sub>	VL1016498	CIMMYT, Hyderabad
12	T <sub>2</sub>	VP15295	CIMMYT, Hyderabad
13	T <sub>3</sub>	SML-1	BAU, Sabour
14	C1	DHM 117	ANGRAU, Hyderabad
15	C2	SHM 1	BAU, Sabour

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance displays highly significant difference for all the characters studied (Table 2). With the exception of the Anthesis-Silking interval, the mean square due to parents varied greatly, showing that the parents included in the study were heterogeneous. Additionally, there were considerable differences identified among the crosses for every character. There is a considerable difference in the variance attributed to parents compared to crosses, suggesting that the material under study has a high heterosis response. With the exception of the Anthesis-Silking interval, all of the characters under research had highly significant variance due to general and particular combining abilities, suggesting the involvement of both additive and non-additive influences on character expression. In maize, Singh and Kumar [7], Verma and Narayan [8], and Amiruzzaman et al. [9] also noted the significance of both types of gene effects. Combining ability analysis revealed that degree of specific combining ability (SCA) variances were higher than general combining ability (GCA) variances for all the characters under study except Anthesis - Silking interval, suggesting predominance of non-additive gene action for these characters.

#### 3.1 GCA Effect

The GCA effects were found to be having both significant positive and significant negative values for various traits studied (Table 3). The high GCA effect observed were attributed to additive effect and additive x additive gene effect. General combining ability is a good indicator of inbred line performance at hybrid combinations. Parents with high GCA always produce hybrids with high estimate of SCA. However, on the contrary good general combining parents does not always show high SCA effects in their hybrid combination. Kumar and Babu [10] and Gowhar et al. [11] reported that, the crosses with high SCA effects were found to show result due to all possible parental GCA combinations. The lines VL109476 (464.817), VL109475 (748.317), VL1010848 (541.483) and VL109479 (1424.650) were identified as good combiners for grain yield at 15 % moisture. The line VL1017524 was also found to show good GCA effects for days to 50 per cent tasseling, days to 50 % silking, plant height and ear height. But the line VL109475 showed poor combining ability effects for days to 50 % tasseling and days to 50 % silking. Among the testers, the tester VP15295 (313.517) was identified as good combiner for grain yield at 15 % moisture, cob length, number of grains per row, test weight and shelling percentage, while SML-1 is showing good GCA effects for days to 50 per cent tasseling, days to 50 per cent silking, days to 75 per cent brown husk, cob length and also shelling percentage. These observations were in agreement with the works of Elmyhun et al. [12], Mogesse et al. [13], Oneieme et al. [14].

## 3.2 SCA Effect

The SCA effect was also found to be having both significant positive and significant negative values for various traits studied (Table 4). The crosses VL109476 x V P15295 (502.483). VL1010763 x VP15295 (521.650), VL1018419 x VP15295 (470.483), VL109475 x SML-1 (747.383), VL109353 x SML-1 (651.383) and VL1010848 x VL1016498 were identified as good specific combiners for grain yield at 15% moisture and none of the crosses were identified as good specific combiners for earliness. These observations were in agreement with the works of Elmvhun et al. [12]. Mogesse et al. [13]. Nandan et al. [15], Onejeme et al. [14], Darshan and Marker [16], Dar et al. [17] and Kumar et al. [18].

Sources	D.f		Mean Sum of Squares (MSS)					
		Days to 50 per cent	Days to 50 per cent	Anthesis-silking	Days to 75 per cent	Plant height	Ear height (cm)	
		tasseling (Day)	silking (Day)	interval (Day)	brown husk (Day)	(cm)		
Replicates	1	0.94186	1.674	0.186	0.570	1243.360	0.047	
Treatments	42	18.81783	18.462***	0.882	10.220*	1337.957***	306.107***	
Parents	12	20.05128	18.635***	1.667**	19.571***	1188.782***	352.878***	
Parents Vs crosses	1	233.25012	197.847***	1.290	36.076*	26742.470***	5634.467***	
Crosses	29	10.91322	12.205***	0.543	5.460	523.667***	103.017	
Lines	9	13.09074	17.807**	0.896	8.444***	866.743**	227.128***	
Testers	2	68.11667	60.117***	0.217	28.717***	1579.650**	160.117*	
Line × tester	18	3.46852	4.080	0.402	1.383	234.798	34.617	
Error	42	4.27519	3.960	0.615	5.260	130.837	70.737	
		**	Cignificant at 0 10/ laval	** Cignificant at 10/ laval	* Cignificant at E0/ loval			

# Table 2. Mean sum of square for quantitative traits in maize (Zea mays L.)

\*\*\* Significant at 0.1% level \*\* Significant at 1% level \* Significant at 5% level

#### Contd.

#### Table 2A. Mean sum of square for quantitative traits in maize (Zea mays L.)

Sources	D.f	Mean Sum of	Squares (MSS)					
		Cob length	Cob girth	No. of rows	No. of grains	Test weight (g)	Shelling	Grain yield at 15 %
		(cm)	(cm)	per cob	per row		percentage (%)	moisture (Kg/ha)
Replicates	1	13.442	0.158	2.279	0.890	143.267	17.759	622710.800
Treatments	42	14.247***	0.519***	2.401**	41.316***	3026.800***	49.841***	3308530.000*
Parents	12	9.947***	0.482***	1.205	11.154***	415.282***	36.057***	199571.600*
Parents Vs crosses	1	302.060***	10.026***	15.976***	1024.277***	66440.270**	958.321***	86662500.000**
Crosses	29	6.102**	0.207***	2.428**	19.902***	1920.756***	24.217***	1720721.000***
Lines	9	11.949**	0.186	3.822	34.078*	3247.807	27.771	3539063.000**
Testers	2	8.690	0.373	1.800	30.383	1220.517	103.679**	2065211.000
Line x tester	18	2.891	0.199***	1.800	11.650***	1335.035***	13.611*	773273.800***
Error	42	2.578	0.058	1.041	2.472	37.625	7.261	76723.670

Parent	Days to 50 per cent tasseling (Day)	Days to 50 per cent silking (Day)	Anthesis-silking interval (Day)	Days to 75 per cent brown husk (Day)	Plant height (cm)	Ear height (cm)
L <sub>1</sub>	0.983	1.200	0.233	-0.500	2.117	0.017
L <sub>2</sub>	-1.017	-1.133	-0.100	-1.667	6.283	5.850
L <sub>3</sub>	0.150	0.200	0.067	-0.333	3.783	0.017
$L_4$	0.650	0.367	-0.267	0.500	9.617*	-0.817
L <sub>5</sub>	2.150*	2.033*	-0.267	-0.667	5.950	5.850
L <sub>6</sub>	-0.017	0.367	0.400	1.167	-3.717	4.183
L <sub>7</sub>	0.317	0.867	0.567	0.667	-0.383	2.517
L <sub>8</sub>	-0.017	-0.133	-0.100	0.500	3.783	-0.317
L9	0.317	0.533	0.233	2.000*	5.117	-1.650
L <sub>10</sub>	-3.517***	- 4.300***	-0.767*	-1.667	-32.5***	-15.6***
T <sub>1</sub>	-0.5	-0.517	0.083	-0.667	-7.1**	-2.9
T <sub>2</sub>	2.0***	1.933***	-0.117	1.383*	9.9***	2.7
T <sub>3</sub>	-1.483**	-1.417**	0.033	-0.717	-2.800	0.183
C.D. at 95% (Line)	1.726	1.662	0.655	1.915	9.551	7.022
C.D. at 95% (Tester)	0.946	0.910	0.359	1.049	5.231	3.846

#### Table 3. Estimates of GCA effects

\*\*\* Significant at 0.1% level\*\* Significant at 1% level \* Significant at 5% level

Contd.

Parent	Cob length (cm)	Cob girth (cm)	No. of rows per cob	No. of grains per row	Test weight (g)	Shelling percentage (%)	Grain yield at 15 % moisture (Kg/ha)
_1	1.264	-0.136	-1.067*	0.396	-30.867***	-3.942**	-784.850***
L <sub>2</sub>	1.154	0.206*	-0.067	1.513*	11.467***	1.190	464.817***
L <sub>3</sub>	-0.130	-0.007	-0.400	0.612	-0.367	1.373	-38.350
L <sub>4</sub>	0.442	-0.179	-0.733	2.438***	-25.700***	1.285	-353.183**
L <sub>5</sub>	0.060	-0.012	0.267	-0.438	42.133***	-2.387*	748.317***
L <sub>6</sub>	-0.835	0.013	0.267	-1.604*	-15.867***	1.345	-514.850***
L <sub>7</sub>	-1.503*	0.231*	-0.733	0.229	-20.200***	0.845	-525.683***
L <sub>8</sub>	1.195	0.225*	1.267**	-0.771	15.633***	1.108	541.483***
_9	1.302	-0.062	1.267**	3.063***	20.967***	1.977	1424.650***
L10	-2.950**	-0.279**	-0.067	-5.438***	2.800	-2.795*	-962.350***
T <sub>1</sub>	-0.407	-0.056	-0.300	-0.538	-9.017***	0.904	-328.633***
T2	0.761*	-0.099	0.000	1.410***	4.283**	-2.590***	313.517***
T3	-0.354	0.156**	0.300	-0.873*	4.733**	1.686**	15.117
C.D. at 95 % (Line)	1.341	0.201	0.852	1.313	5.122	2.250	231.276
C.D. at 95 % (Tester)	0.734	0.110	0.467	0.719	2.805	1.232	126.675

#### Table 3A. Estimates of GCA effects of parents

\*\*\* Significant at 0.1% level \*\* Significant at 1% level \* Significant at 5% level

Crosses	Days to 50 per cent	Days to 50 per cent	Anthesis-silking	Days to 75 per cent	Plant height (cm)	Ear height (cm)
	tasseling (Day)	silking (Day)	interval (Day) 0.417	brown husk (Day)	-17.017 *	-5.417
$L_1 \times T_1$	-0.583	-0.150		1.000		
$L_1 \times T_2$	0.267	0.400	0.117	-1.050	5.883	1.433
L <sub>1</sub> × T <sub>3</sub>	0.317	-0.250	-0.533	0.050	11.133	3.983
$L_2 \times T_1$	-0.583	-0.817	-0.250	-0.333	-1.183	1.250
$L_2 \times T_2$	0.767	1.233	0.450	0.617	-0.783	0.600
$L_2 \times T_3$	-0.183	-0.417	-0.200	-0.283	1.967	-1.850
$L_3 \times T_1$	-1.250	-1.150	0.083	-0.667	-1.183	-5.417
$L_3 \times T_2$	1.100	1.400	0.283	-0.217	-0.783	1.433
$L_3 \times T_3$	0.150	-0.250	-0.367	0.883	1.967	3.983
L4 × T1	-0.750	-0.817	-0.083	-0.500	0.483	2.917
L4 × T2	1.100	1.233	0.117	0.450	8.383	-0.233
L4 × T3	-0.350	-0.417	-0.033	0.050	-8.867	-2.683
L5 × T1	1.750	2.017	0.417	0.167	13.150	-3.750
L5 × T2	-0.400	-0.933	-0.383	0.617	-2.950	8.100
L5 × T3	-1.350	-1.083	-0.033	-0.783	-10.200	-4.350
L <sub>6</sub> × T <sub>1</sub>	0.917	0.683	-0.250	0.833	8.817	2.917
L6 × T2	-0.733	-0.267	0.450	-0.717	6.717	-2.733
L6 × T3	-0.183	-0.417	-0.200	-0.117	-15.533	-0.183
L7 × T1	0.583	0.183	-0.417	0.333	-7.017	4.583
L7 × T2	-0.067	0.233	0.283	-1.217	-4.117	-3.567
L7 × T3	-0.517	-0.417	0.133	0.883	11.133	-1.017
L <sub>8</sub> × T <sub>1</sub>	1.417	1.183	-0.250	0.000	-6.183	-1.083
L <sub>8</sub> × T <sub>2</sub>	-0.233	-0.267	-0.050	-0.050	9.217	-0.733
$L_8 \times T_3$	-1.183	-0.917	0.300	0.050	-3.033	1.817
$L_9 \times T_1$	0.583	0.517	-0.083	-1.000	-0.017	1.250
$L_9 \times T_2$	-1.067	-1.433	-0.383	1.450	-3.117	0.600
$L_9 \times T_3$	0.483	0.917	0.467	-0.450	3.133	-1.850
L <sub>10</sub> × T <sub>1</sub>	-2.083	-1.650	0.417	0.167	10.150	2.750
$L_{10} \times T_2$	-0.733	-1.600	-0.883	0.117	-18.450 *	-4.900
$L_{10} \times T_3$	2.817	3.250 *	0.467	-0.283	8.300	2.150
C.D at 95%	2.990	2.878	1.134	3.317	16.542	12.163

#### Table 4. Estimates of SCA effects of crosses

#### Contd.

Crosses	Cob length (cm)	Cob girth	No. of rows	No. of grains per	Test weight (g)	Shelling percentage	Grain yield at 15%
		(cm)	per cob	row		(%)	moisture (Kg/ha)
L <sub>1</sub> × T <sub>1</sub>	-1.695	-0.022	-0.033	-4.296 ***	4.017	0.658	-476.700 *
$L_1 \times T_2$	1.948	0.351 *	-0.333	2.757 *	-4.283	-5.123 *	141.150
L1 × T3	-0.253	-0.329	0.367	1.539	0.267	4.466 *	335.550
$L_2 \times T_1$	-1.335	-0.409 *	-1.033	-0.412	-12.317 **	1.626	-674.367 **
$L_2 \times T_2$	1.033	-0.011	-0.333	0.340	27.383 ***	-1.245	502.483 *
L <sub>2</sub> × T <sub>3</sub>	0.302	0.419 *	1.367	0.072	-15.067 **	-0.381	171.883
L <sub>3</sub> × T <sub>1</sub>	-0.196	-0.220	-0.700	-1.013	-10.983 *	0.058	-541.200 **
L3 × T2	0.356	-0.062	0.000	3.190 **	-1.783	0.822	521.650 *
L3 × T3	-0.160	0.283	0.700	-2.178	12.767 **	-0.879	19.550
$L_4 \times T_1$	0.762	0.216	0.633	3.663 **	-30.150 ***	0.851	58.133
L4 × T2	-0.461	-0.091	0.333	-1.660	31.550 ***	-1.425	470.483 *
$L_4 \times T_3$	-0.301	-0.126	-0.967	-2.003	-1.400	0.574	-528.617 *
$L_5 \times T_1$	-1.496	-0.255	0.633	0.538	-29.983 ***	-0.882	-288.367
L5 × T2	-0.504	-0.052	-0.667	-1.410	0.717	0.817	-459.017 *
$L_5 \times T_3$	2.000	0.308	0.033	0.873	29.267 ***	0.066	747.383 ***
$L_6 \times T_1$	0.699	-0.015	-1.367	-1.296	31.017 ***	-0.604	-41.200
L <sub>6</sub> × T <sub>2</sub>	-0.009	0.293	1.333	2.757 *	-25.283 ***	2.115	343.150
L <sub>6</sub> × T <sub>3</sub>	-0.690	-0.277	0.033	-1.461	-5.733	-1.511	-301.950
L7 × T1	-0.178	-0.214	0.633	-0.129	-4.150	1.446	76.133
L7 × T2	-0.505	-0.131	-0.667	-2.577 *	-7.450	-4.005 *	-727.517 ***
L7 × T3	0.683	0.344	0.033	2.706 *	11.600 *	2.559	651.383 **
L8 × T1	1.184	0.248	0.633	0.871	45.017 ***	-0.692	1223.967 ***
$L_8 \times T_2$	-0.834	-0.119	-0.667	-0.077	-9.283 *	2.447	-393.183
L8 × T3	-0.350	-0.129	0.033	-0.794	-35.733 ***	-1.754	-830.783 ***
L <sub>9</sub> × T <sub>1</sub>	0.547	0.255	-0.367	0.538	22.683 ***	-1.516	339.300
$L_9 \times T_2$	-0.191	0.043	0.333	-0.910	-4.117	2.648	-50.850
L <sub>9</sub> × T <sub>3</sub>	-0.357	-0.297	0.033	0.373	-18.567 ***	-1.133	-288.450
$L_{10} \times T_1$	1.709	0.416 *	0.967	1.538	-15.150 **	-0.944	324.300
L <sub>10</sub> × T <sub>2</sub>	-0.834	-0.221	0.667	-2.410 *	-7.450	2.950	-348.350
L <sub>10</sub> × T <sub>3</sub>	-0.875	-0.196	-1.633 *	0.873	22.600 ***	-2.006	24.050
C.D@95%	2.322	0.348	1.476	2.274	8.871	3.897	400.582

#### Table 4A. Estimates of SCA effects of crosses

\*\*\* Significant at 0.1% level \*\* Significant at 1% level \* Significant at 5% level

Crosses	Heterosis (%) over			
	RH	BP	Check1	Check2
L <sub>1</sub> × T <sub>1</sub>	27.75	22.39	-49.64**	-50.78**
L1 × T2	65.13 **	45.36 **	-21.36**	-23.15
L1 × T3	120.53 **	85.44 **	-23.69**	-25.43*
L <sub>2</sub> × T <sub>1</sub>	97.16 **	96.25 **	-26.03**	-27.71
L <sub>2</sub> × T <sub>2</sub>	151.07 **	112.20 **	14.78**	12.17**
L2 × T3	107.89 **	109.59 **	0.67**	-1.62
L3 × T1	55.29 **	40.07 **	-34.33**	-35.83***
L3 × T2	105.85 **	92.12 **	3.92**	1.55**
L3 × T3	129.44 **	83.37 **	-14.04**	-16.00
L4 × T1	92.22 **	91.16 **	-27.96	-29.60
L4 × T2	109.51 **	76.93 **	-4.28	-6.43*
L4 × T3	103.88 **	78.66 **	-33.41*	-34.93
L5 × T1	159.52 **	136.11 **	-11.01	-13.04*
$L_5 \times T_2$	134.33 **	84.07 **	-0.42**	-2.69
L <sub>5</sub> × T <sub>3</sub>	107.01 **	88.30 **	19.95**	17.21***
$L_6 \times T_1$	87.27 **	75.62 **	-33.81	-35.32
$L_6 \times T_2$	104.90 **	64.95 **	-10.77*	-12.80
L <sub>6</sub> × T <sub>3</sub>	122.94 **	106.22 **	-31.95	-33.50
L7 × T1	91.09 **	81.96 **	-31.41	-32.98
$L_7 \times T_2$	47.32 **	20.08	-35.05*	-36.53
L7 × T3	187.11 **	161.69 **	-10.79	-12.82
$L_8 \times T_1$	225.27 **	113.84 **	18.25*	15.51**
L8 × T2	116.31 **	78.22 **	-3.59	-5.78
L8 × T3	153.25 **	127.99 **	-20.10	-21.92
$L_9 \times T_1$	224.38 **	103.75 **	18.24**	15.55**
L <sub>9</sub> × T <sub>2</sub>	177.46 **	129.06 **	25.02*	22.15*
L9 × T3	253.64 **	117.65 **	11.87**	9.375*
$L_{10} \times T_1$	89.62 **	70.74 **	-35.65	-37.72
$L_{10} \times T_2$	51.09 **	17.69	-36.33*	-37.51*
$L_{10} \times T_3$	124.35 **	116.43 **	-34.67***	-36.23

# Table 5. Magnitude of heterosis for grain yield at 15 % moisture (Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

\*\*\* Significant at 0.1% level \*\* Significant at 1% level \* Significant at 5% level \*RH- Relative heterosis

\*BP-Better Parent

#### 3.3 Estimates of Heterosis

Negative and significant heterosis is desirable for days to 50 per cent tasseling, days to 50 per cent silking, days to 75 per cent brown husk, anthesissilking interval, plant height and ear height as it implies that these crosses may mature earlier.

In Maize shorter plants are taken in to consideration over taller types, so significant negative heterosis is considered desirable for plant height for the development of shorter hybrids that could avoid lodging.

Positive and significant heterosis for cob length, cob girth, number of grains per row, number of rows per cob, test weight, shelling % and grain yield at 15% moisture is desired. In the present study several crosses were showing desirable significant heterosis for these traits. On the basis of standard heterosis VL109476 x V P15295, VL1010763 x VP15295, VL109475 x SML-1 and VL109479 x SML-1 were superior to the better check in grain yield. The identified hybrids may be tested on large scale multi-location trial and in farmers' field before commercial utilization.

These finding also agree with, Ali et al. [19,20], Shushay [21], Amiruzzaman et al. [9], Sumalini and Rani [22], Singhal et al. [23], Mohanraj and Gopalan [24], Reddy and Ahuja [25], Sinha et al. [26,27], Dubey et al. [28], Iqbal et al. [29,30], Pandey and Kumar [31], Pradhan [32] and Sinha and Mishra [26] who also reported positive and significant heterosis for yield and related traits [33-39].

## 4. CONCLUSION

The good general combiner for yield lines  $L_2$ ,  $L_5$ ,  $L_8$  and  $L_9$  and tester  $T_2$  observed in the study. may be used in hybridization programmes for greater yield. The best cross combinations for greater yield  $L_2 \times T_2$ ,  $L_3 \times T_2$ ,  $L_4 \times T_2$ ,  $L_5 \times T_3$ ,  $L_7 \times T_3$ and L<sub>8</sub>×T<sub>1</sub> in the study, may be forwarded for multi-location testing Front and Line Demonstration (FLD), and then promising crosses may be released as hybrid. As a future breeding strategy, improvement of the traits showing preponderance of non-additive gene action could be achieved by recurrent selection, or by way of inter-mating the most desirable segregants, followed by selection or the use of multiple crosses or biparental mating.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

- Saeed MT, Saleem M, Afzal M. Genetic analysis of yield and its components in maize diallel crosses (*Zea mays* L.). International Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2000;2:376-378.
- 2. Schnable PS, Ware D, Fulton RS. The B73 maize genome: Complexity, diversity and dynamics. Science. 2009;326:1112-1115.
- Sleper DA, Poehlman JM. Breeding corn (Maize). Chapter 17. In: breeding field crops, edition 5. Blackwell Publishing. 2006;277-296
- 4. Watson L, Dallwitz MJ. The grass genera of the World. CAB International, Oxon. 1992;1038.
- Dowswell CR, Paliwal RL and Cantrell RP. (1996) Maize in third world. West View Press, Colorado, USA.
- Sprague GF, Tatum LA. General v/s specific combining ability in single crosses in corn. Journal of American Society of Agronomy. 1942;30:923-932.
- Singh PK, Kumar N. Selection of tester for evaluation of inbred lines in hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.). Environment and Ecology. 2008;27:895-897
- Verma R, Kumar SS, Reddy VN and Sankar AS. Heterosis studies for grain yield and its component traits in single cross hybrids of maize (*Zea mays* L.). International Journal of Plant, Animal and Environmental Science. 2008;4:304 -306.
- 9. Amiruzzaman M, Islam MA, Hasan L, Rohman, MM. Combining ability and heterosis for yield and component characters in maize. Academic Journal of Plant Sciences. 2010;3(2):79-84.
- Kumar SVVP, Babu DR. Combining ability and heterosis in maize (*Zea mays* L.) for grain yield and yield components. International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology. 2016; 9(5):763-772.
- 11. Gowhar A, Rather AG, Ishfaq A, Gulzaffar, Imran S, Dar SA. Combining ability and gene action studies for yield and its related traits in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Journal of Environment and Ecology. 2009;27(2):699-703.

- Elmyhun M, Liyew C, Shita A, Andualem M. Combining ability performance and heterotic grouping of maize (*Zea mays*) inbred lines in testcross formation in Western Amhara, North West Ethiopia. Cogent Food & Agriculture. 2020;6(8):172-178.
- Mogesse, Habtamu Zelleke, Mandefro Nigussie. General and Specific Combing Ability of Maize (*Zea mays L.*) Inbred Line for Grain Yield and Yield Related Traits Using 8×8 Diallel Crosses. American Journal of Bio Science. 2020; 8(3):45-56
- 14. Onejeme, Emmanuel Ogbonna Okporie, Chinedu Emmanuel Eze. Combining Ability and Heterosis in Diallel Analysis of Maize (*Zea mays L.*) Lines. International Annals of Science. 2020;9(6):188-200.
- Nandan G, Mandal SS, Prasad BD, Zareen U, Rani, J. Combining ability for yield and its attributes in response to arsenic absorption in maize (*Zea mays* L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2020;9(6):1007-1021.
- Darshan, S Marker () Heterosis and combining ability for grain yield and its component characters in quality protein maize (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2019;10(1):111– 118
- Dar ZA, Lone AA, Alie BA, Ahangar, MA, Ali G, Abidi I, Gazal A, Lone RA. Combining ability analysis for yield and yield contributing traits in popcorn (*Zea* mays everta L.) under temperate conditions. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(1):361-366.
- Kumar R, Mandal SS, Mishra AK, Smriti, Singh R, Kumar P. Heterosis and combining ability for yield and its contributing traits of *kharif* maize (*Zea mays* L.). The Bioscan. 2015;10(4):2049-2056.
- Ali Q, Ali A, Ahsan, M, Nasir, IA, Abbas HG, Ashraf MA. Line × Tester analysis for morpho-physiological traits of *Zea mays* L. seedlings. Advancements in Life Sciences. 2014;1(4):242-253.
- Ali Q, Ali, A, Awan, MF, Tariq, M, Ali, S, Samiullah, TR, Hussain T. Combining ability analysis for various physiological, grain yield and quality traits of *Zea mays* L. Life Science Journal. 2014;11(8):540-551.
- 21. Shushay WA. Standard heterosis of maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbred lines for grain yield

and yield related traits in Central Rift Valley of Ethiopia. Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare. 2014;4(23),31-37

- Sumalini K, Rani TS. Heterosis and combining ability for polygenic traits in late maturity hybrids of maize, *Zea mays* (L.). Madras Agricultural Journal. 2010;97(10/12):340-343.
- 23. Singhal N, Verma SS, Baskheti DC, Kumar A. Heterosis and combining ability analysis in quality protein maize inbred lines. Journal of Biological Sciences. 2006;1(2):54-56, 85-88.
- 24. Mohanraj K and Gopalan A. Heterosis across several characters in maize (*Zea* mays L.). Plant Archive. 2005;5(1):311-312.
- Reddy DM, Ahuja VP. Phenotypic stability in recycled inbreds of maize. National Journal Plant Improvement. 2004;6(1):29-30.
- 26. Sinha A, Mishra SN. Combining ability analysis in varietal crosses of maize. Indian Journal of Genetics. 1996; 57(2):149-153.
- Sinha SS, Dubey RB, Lakshyadeep, Punia SS. Heterosis for quality traits in single cross hybrids of maize. (*Zea mays* L.). Indian Journal of Agricultural Biochemistry. 2004;17(1):37-39.
- Dubey RB, Joshi VN, Pandiya NK. Heterosis and combining ability for quality, yield and maturity traits in conventional and non-conventional hybrids of maize. Indian Journal of Genetics. 2001; 61(4):353-355.
- Iqbal AM, Nehvi FA, Wani SA, Qadir R, Dar, ZA. Combining ability analysis for yield and yield related traits in maize (*Zea* mays L.). International Journal of Plant Breeding and Genetics. 2007;1(2):101-105.
- Iqbal M, Salum M, Rasid O. Inter-raceal heterosis in maize hybrids. Pakistan Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research. 2001;44(4):239-343.
- 31. Pandey BP, Kumar P. Heterosis in varietal crosses of maize. Diamond jubilee symposium, indian society of genetics and plant breeding. New Delhi, Nov 2001;6(9):129.
- 32. Pradhan. Classification and characterization of inbred lines of maize (*Zea mays* L.). Ph.D. Thesis, G.B.P.U.A. & T, Pantnagar, India; 1999.
- 33. Alimatu et al. Combining Ability and Heterotic Patterns of Tropical Early-

Maturing Maize Inbred Lines under Individual and Combined Heat and Drought Environments. Plants. 2022;11(10):1365.

- 34 Arsode K Murali Krishna N, Sunil Vani Sree, A Ravi Charan. Combining ability and heterosis studies for grain yield and its components in Hybrids of Quality Protein Maize (Zea mays L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017; 6(12):2538-2545
- Bisen, Amit Dadheech, Namrata, Omprakash Nagar, Ram Kunwar Meena.
   Exploitation of Heterosis in Single Cross Hybrids of Quality Protein Maize (Zea maize L.) for Yield and Quality Traits.

International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management. 2017;8(1):12-19.

- Griffing B. Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing systems. Australian Journal of Biological Science. 1956;9:463-493.
- Kabdal MK. Combining ability and heterosis analysis for grain yield and its components in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Thesis, M.Sc. (Ag.) GBPUA&T, Pantnagar, India; 2000.
- Kempthorne O. An introduction to statistics. John Wiley and Sons. Inc. New York. 1957;468-471.
- Kumar PS. Combining ability studies in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Advances in Plant Sciences. 2008;21(1):15-19.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/117403