

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

**12(11): 2245-2252, 2022; Article no.IJECC.91433** ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

## Effect of Phosphorous Levels and Phosphorous Solubilizing Bacteria (PSB) on Growth, Quality Parameters and Profitability of Berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.)

Shubham Chouhan <sup>a#</sup>, Praveen Kumar Ausari <sup>a‡</sup>, B. K. Sharma <sup>b†</sup>, M. P. Nayak <sup>c¥</sup> and Pavan Kumar Para <sup>d\*†</sup>

> <sup>a</sup> Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Sehore, Madhya Pradesh, India. <sup>b</sup> Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India. <sup>c</sup> Department of Agronomy, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Rajgarh, Madhya Pradesh, India. <sup>d</sup> Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2022/v12i1131218

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <a href="https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/91433">https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/91433</a>

**Original Research Article** 

Received 02 July 2022 Accepted 05 September 2022 Published 09 September 2022

## ABSTRACT

The present study was conducted at Research farm of R.A.K., college of Agriculture, Sehore, Madhya Pradesh during 2020-21. The experiment was laid out using Randomized Block Design with different levels of Phosphorus with or without PSB application (T<sub>1</sub>- 45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha, T<sub>2</sub>- 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha, T<sub>3</sub>- 75 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha, T<sub>4</sub>-90 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha, T<sub>5</sub>- 45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB @ 5g/kg seed, T<sub>6</sub>- 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB @ 5g/kg seed, T<sub>7</sub>- 75 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB @ 5g/kg seed, T<sub>8</sub>- 90 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB @ 5g/kg seed). Treatment T<sub>7</sub> found to be best for yield and yield attributes of berseem. Maximum plant height (54.8, 71.5 and 65.5 cm), number of branches/plant (5.44, 6.72 and 8.53), dry matter accumulation (4.90, 6.64 and 5.00 g/plant) at 1<sup>st</sup> cutting, 2<sup>nd</sup> cutting and at harvest respectively, dry

- <sup>†</sup> Ph.D Scholar;
- <sup>\*</sup>Senior, Technical Officer;

<sup>#</sup> PG Scholar;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Scientist;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: pkpara94@gmail.com;

matter (13.01 %), crude protein (19.99 %), crude protein yield (420.21 kg/ha), Gross return (87,660  $\overline{\xi}$ /ha), net return (59,412  $\overline{\xi}$ /ha) and B:C ratio (3.03). While minimum recorded with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (45kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha without PSB application).

Keywords: Phosphorous levels; PSB; growth; quality.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.) is an important winter legume forage crop of irrigated area. It has become popular owing to rapid growth, multi-cut nature and, good recovery after cuttings, higher yield, and long period of fodder supply, high nutritive value and excellent palatability besides enrichment of the soil through biological nitrogen fixation [1].

Berseem or Egyptian clover is regarded as "King of fodder". It is a nitrogen-fixing annual leguminous crop which is highly adaptable to the semi-arid climate of Northern India. It's also a nutritious and high-yielding leguminous fodder crop. It has a cosmopolitan adaptability and diverse quality. It increases the amount of milk produced by cows and buffaloes.

On an average berseem fodder has 20-21% crude protein, 25.9% crude fibre, 40.7 percent nitrogen free extract, 14.16 percent ash, 1.92 percent calcium, 0.28 percent phosphorus, 70-72 percent dry matter digestibility and is calciumrich. Berseem productivity is quite poor in India. There are a number of factors that contribute to low berseem yields, but inappropriate fertilizer application is one of the most significant. Correct and judicious fertilizer application can increase production by up to 50% [2] while also improving fodder quality [3].

The availability of adequate quality and quantity fodder is linked to the low productivity of Indian livestock. It's been a while seen as a big stumbling block in maximizing the livestock's potential [4,5].

Berseem is grown in India, Pakistan, Turkey, Egypt and countries of Mediterranean region. In India, it is grown mainly in irrigated area of Northern India and Western part of country. The main state growing this crop are Punjab, Haryana, Delhi, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, Gujarat, and some parts of Bihar, Maharashtra, and Andhra Pradesh, [6].

Phosphorus plays an important role as a structural component of cell constituents and

metabolically active compounds. The protein synthesis is controlled by the supply of phosphorus in plants. Phosphorus has an important role in the process of photosynthesis in plants [7]. It is an essential constituent of nucleic acid, phytin, phospholipids and enzymes and is responsible for root development and seed formation [8]. Moreover, P is an essential nutrient for growth and development which gives rapid and vigour start to plant and stimulate flowering and also help in nutrient uptake of N [9]. Phosphorus plays important role in legume crops. The effect of P is known to activate microbial population responsible for nodulation. Phosphorus in soil increases concentration of P ions of soil solution and ultimately affects formation of more nodules, Vigorous root development, better nitrogen fixation and overall better development of plants. Efficient nodulation due to P fertilization enhances N-fixation to be utilized by plants and ultimately increases protein content. Being a leguminous crop, it enriches the soil by fixing atmospheric N with the association of Rhizobmm trifoli [10].

The microorganisms that are involved in phosphorus solubilization are looking for soluble phosphorus and promoting the effectiveness of biological nitrogen fixation, which results in greater availability to other trace minerals by developing plant growth promoting substances. and ultimately plant growth is improved [11]. PSB creates organic acids such as lactate, oxalate, gluconate. succinate. acetate. tartarate. glycolate, citrate, ketogluconate, and others [12]. Phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms can create and release organic acids and protons in their environment, lowering the pH and thereby solubilizing calcium-phosphorus complexes. PO<sub>4</sub> is swapped by acid anion or chelated as a

result of these organic acids, and therefore forms dissolved mineral phosphate [13].

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted during rabi season of 2020-21 at experimental block of Research farm, R.A.K. College of Agriculture, Sehore, Madhya Pradesh, India. The Farm is situated in the Eastern part of Vindhyan Plateau in sub-

tropical zone at the latitude of 23<sup>0</sup> 12' North and longitude of 77°05' East at an altitude of 498.77 m from mean sea level in Madhva Pradesh. India. The crop sown with inoculated and uninoculated seeds of berseem (var. Bar-Bar) was given phosphorus fertilizer at the levels 45, 60, 75 and 90 kg  $P_2 O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> in the form of single super phosphate (SSP). The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications measuring a net plot size of 4 m X 5 m the seeds of berseem var. Bar-Bar were inoculated with PSB peat mixer and broadcasted in a well-prepared seed bed in middle of November. A basal dose of 20 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and 40 ka K ha<sup>-1</sup> were given during land preparation. All the other cultural practices were kept normal and uniform for all the treatments. The crop was harvested 150 DAS sowing at pod formation. The growth parameters like plant height, number of branches per plant were recorded by randomly selecting five plants from each plot. The plant height was measured with the help of measuring tape from ground level to highest leaf tip. For dry matter percentage, the sample was dried in shade and dried to electric oven at 70°C up to a period till constant weight was achieved. A fraction of dry mass was taken and grinded and then it was preserved in polythene bags for quality analysis. Quality parameters like dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP) of the samples were determined according to Association of the Official Analytical Chemist (AOAC) [14]. The dry matter was determined by drying the samples at 80°C till constant weight. Crude protein was estimated by micro 'Kjeldhal' method. The percent of nitrogen indicated the estimation of CP. The observations on growth, guality parameters and economics were calculated using SPSS software, IBM Inc. 2009 and least significant was computed at p≤0.05 as described in Gomez and Gomez [15].

## 3. RESULTS

## 3.1 Growth Parameters

## 3.1.1 Plant height (cm)

The data on account plant height, presented in Table 1 revealed that different treatments had significantly influenced the plant height. Maximum plant height (54.8, 71.5 and 65.5 cm) during first cutting, second cutting and at harvest, respectively was observed with the treatment  $T_7$ 

(75 kg  $P_2O_5/ha + PSB 5$  g/kg seed). Although, the treatment  $T_8$  (90 kg  $P_2O_5/ha + PSB$ 5 g/kg seed) *i.e.*, 53.7, 69.5 and 64.1 cm and  $T_3$ (75 kg  $P_2O_5/ha$ ) *i.e.*, 52.6, 68.9 and 63.4 cm during first cutting, second cutting and at harvest, respectively was *at par* with the treatment  $T_7$ . Although, the treatment  $T_1$ (45 kg  $P_2O_5/ha$ ) recorded minimum plant height *i.e.*, 47.0, 60.2 and 58.1 cm during first cutting, second cutting and at harvest, respectively.

## 3.2 Number of Branches/Plant

The data on account number of branches/plant, presented in Table 1 revealed that different treatments had significantly influenced the number of branches/plant. Maximum number of branches/plant (5.44, 6.72 and 8.53) during first cuttina. second cutting and at harvest, respectively was observed with the treatment  $T_7$ (75 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB 5 g/kg seed).Although, the treatment T<sub>8</sub> (90 kg  $P_2O_5/ha + PSB 5 g/kg$ seed) i.e., 5.21, 6.65 and 8.52 and T<sub>3</sub> (60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha) *i.e.*, 5.11, 6.45 and 8.34 during first cutting, second cutting and at harvest, respectively was at par with the treatment  $T_7$ . While, the treatment  $T_1$  (45 kg  $P_2O_5/ha$ ) recorded minimum number of branches/plant i.e., 4.21, 5.05 and 6.08 during first cutting, second cutting and at harvest, respectively.

## 3.3 Dry Matter Accumulation (g/plant)

The data account on dry matter accumulation/plant. Table 1 presented in revealed that different treatments had significantly influenced the drv matter accumulation (g/plant). Maximum dry matter accumulation (4.90, 6.64 and 5.00 g/plant) during first cutting, second cutting and at harvest, respectively was observed with the treatment T<sub>7</sub> (75 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB 5 g/kg seed). Although, the treatment T<sub>8</sub> (90 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB 5 g/kg seed) i.e.,4.72, 6.53 and 4.91 g/plant during first cutting, second cutting and at harvest, respectively and  $T_3(60 \text{ kg } P_2O_5/\text{ha})$  *i.e.*, 4.67, 6.45 and 4.91 g/plant during first cutting, second cutting and at harvest, respectively, was at par with the treatment  $T_7$ . While, the treatment  $T_1$  (45 kg  $P_2O_5/ha$ ) recorded minimum dry matter accumulation i.e., 3.63, 3.90 and 3.89 g/plant during first cutting, second cutting and at harvest, respectively.

Seed Yield Treatments		Plant height (cm)			Number of branches/plant			Dry matter accumulation (g/plant)			Dry matter	Crude	Crude
		70 DAS	110 DAS	At Harvest	70 DAS	110 DAS	At Harvest	70 DAS	110 DAS	At Harvest	(%)	protein (%)	protein yield (kg/ha)
T <sub>1</sub>	45 kg P₂O₅/ha	47.0	60.2	58.1	4.21	5.05	6.08	3.63	3.90	3.89	11.83	15.25	273.93
$T_2$	60 kg P₂O₅/ha	48.0	62.2	58.3	4.43	5.16	6.17	3.75	5.13	4.15	12.17	16.75	305.49
T <sub>3</sub>	75 kg P₂O₅/ha	52.6	68.9	63.4	5.11	6.45	8.34	4.67	6.45	4.91	12.60	18.37	377.37
$T_4$	90 kg P₂O₅/ha	50.6	67.9	62.3	4.96	6.17	7.64	4.57	6.19	4.86	12.51	18.10	349.08
T <sub>5</sub>	45 kg P₂O₅/ha + PSB @ 5	48.2	62.6	58.4	4.47	5.84	6.64	4.19	5.71	4.24	12.38	16.85	323.27
T <sub>6</sub>	g/kg seed 60 kg P₂O₅/ha + PSB @ 5 a/kg seed	49.3	66.5	61.4	4.88	5.94	7.19	4.19	5.90	4.56	12.47	17.66	342.90
T <sub>7</sub>	75 kg P₂O₅/ha + PSB @ 5	54.8	71.5	65.5	5.44	6.72	8.53	4.90	6.64	5.00	13.01	19.99	420.21
T <sub>8</sub>	g/kg seed 90kg P₂O₅/ha + PSB @ 5 g/kg seed	53.7	69.5	64.1	5.21	6.65	8.52	4.72	6.53	4.91	12.90	19.27	409.49
S.En CD (	S.Em± CD (5%)		0.90 2.70	0.70 2.10	0.15 0.45	0.10 0.29	0.21 0.63	0.11 0.32	0.14 0.41	0.07 0.21	0.15 0.45	0.08 0.24	7.53 22.54

# Table 1. Effect of Phosphorous levels and Phosphorous Solubilizing Bacteria (PSB) on Growth, Quality Parameters of Berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.)

Table 2. Effect of Phosphorous levels and Phosphorous Solubilizing Bacteria (PSB) on Economics of Berseem (Trifolium alexandrinum L.)

Treatmen	ts	Cost of Cultivation ( $\overline{\overline{\xi}}$ /ha)	Gross Monetary Return ( 🕇/ha)	Net Monetary Return (🕇/ha)	B:C Ratio
T <sub>1</sub>	45 kg P₂O₅/ha	27,567	67,093	39,526	2.43
T <sub>2</sub>	60 kg P₂O₅/ha	28,223	70,380	42,157	2.49
T <sub>3</sub>	75 kg P₂O₅/ha	28,879	81,207	51,646	2.81
$T_4$	90 kg P₂O₅/ha	29,536	79,253	50,374	2.68
T₅	45 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /ha + PSB @ 5 g/kg seed	27,592	74,424	44,888	2.69
$T_6$	60 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /ha + PSB @ 5 g/kg seed	28,248	76,617	49,025	2.71
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	75 kg P₂O₅/ha + PSB @ 5 g/kg seed	28,904	87,660	59,412	3.03
T <sub>8</sub>	90kg P₂O₅/ha + PSB @ 5 g/kg seed	29,560	84,759	55,855	2.86

#### **3.4 Quality Parameters**

#### 3.4.1 Dry matter (%)

The data on account dry matter (%), presented in Table 1 revealed that different treatments had significantly influenced the dry matter (%). Maximum dry matter (13.01 %) was observed with the treatmentT<sub>7</sub> (75 kg  $P_2O_5/ha + PSB$  5 g/kg seed). Although, the treatment T<sub>8</sub> (90 kg  $P_2O_5/ha + PSB$  5 g/kg seed) *i.e.*, 12.90 % and T<sub>3</sub> (60 kg  $P_2O_5/ha + PSB$  5 g/kg seed) *i.e.*, 12.60 % at harvest, respectively, was *at par* with the treatment T<sub>7</sub>. While, the treatment T<sub>1</sub> (45 kg  $P_2O_5/ha$ ) recorded minimum dry matter *i.e.*, 11.83 %.

#### 3.4.2 Crude protein (%)

The data on account crude protein (%), presented in Table 1 revealed that different treatments had significantly influenced the crude protein (%). Maximum crude protein (19.99 %) was observed with the treatment  $T_7$  (75 kg  $P_2O_5/ha$  + PSB 5 g/kg seed). While, the treatment  $T_1$  (45 kg  $P_2O_5/ha$ ) recorded minimum crude protein *i.e.*, 15.25 %.

#### 3.4.3 Crude protein yield (kg/ha)

The data on account of crude protein yield (kg/ha), presented in Table 1, revealed that different treatments had significantly influenced the crude protein yield (kg/ha). Maximum crude protein yield (420.21 kg/ha) was observed with the treatment  $T_7$  (75 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha + PSB 5 g/kg seed). Although, the treatment  $T_8$  (90 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha + PSB 5 g/kg seed) *i.e.*, 409.49 kg/ha was *at par* with the treatment  $T_7$ . While, the treatment  $T_1$  (45 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha) recorded minimum crude protein yield *i.e.*, 273.93 kg/ha.

#### 3.5 Economics

The maximum gross monetary return was recorded with the treatment  $T_{T}$  (75 kg  $P_{2}O_{5}/ha + PSB 5 g/kg seed)$ *i.e.* $, 87,660 /ha. Although, the treatment <math>T_{1}$  (45 kg  $P_{2}O_{5}/ha$ ) recorded minimum gross monetary return *i.e.*, 67,093 /ha.

The maximum net monetary return was recorded with the treatmentT<sub>7</sub> (75 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB 5 g/kg seed) *i.e.*, 59,412  $\overline{\checkmark}$  /ha. Although, the treatment T<sub>1</sub> (45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha) recorded minimum net monetary return *i.e.*, 39,526  $\overline{\checkmark}$ /ha.

The maximum B:C ratio of berseem was recorded with the treatment  $T_7$  (75 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha +

PSB 5 g/kg seed) *i.e.*, 3.03 per  $\overline{\xi}$  invested. Although, the treatment T<sub>1</sub> (45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha) recorded minimum B:C ratio*i.e.*,2.43 per  $\overline{\xi}$ .

#### 4. DISCUSSION

#### **4.1 Growth Parameters**

Maximum plant height and number of branches/plant was observed with the treatment T<sub>7</sub> (75 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB 5 g/kg seed) and minimum plant height and number of branches/plant was observed with the treatment  $T_1$  (45 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha). The possible reasons may be attributed to PSB inoculation. Application of PSB might have solubilize the phosphate by the micro-organisms through the production of organic acids, citric acids and ketogluconic acids act as powerful chelator of Ca while humic acid and fulvic acid form stable complexes with iron and aluminum phosphate and thus make increased quantity of phosphorus available to plants. Similar effect of PSB on cluster bean was reported by Ayub et al. [16]. Chintapalli et al. [17] recorded the significant effect of Rhizobium + PSB on plant height of berseem. El-Gizawy and Mehasan [18] also reported significant increase in plant height and number of branches per plant of chickpea by PSB inoculation.

Increase in plant height with higher rate of P is attributed to its favourable effect on cell division and multiplication [19,20]. Since P plays an important role in extensive root development translocation of photosynthates and and phospholipids, its application increase different growth parameters [21], and hence plant height of oat has increased with P application under the present investigation. The potent role of P increasing plant height of berseem has been reported by many workers like Hussain et al. [22], Sardana and Narwal [23]. Inferior growth in height of plant under lower rate of P might be due to the fact that under phosphate deficiency, the synthesis of protein is adversely affected because of the accumulation of arginine in the tissues of leguminous plants which results in restricted plant growth [24].Increase of P level beyond 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> adversely affects the plant growth and the same were statistically at par with those achieved with the application of 80 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> [25].

Maximum dry matter accumulation was observed with the treatment  $T_6$  (@ 60 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha + PSB @ 5 g/kg seed). It might be due

to taller plants height, more tillers/plant and number of leaves [26]. The capacity of plant to accumulate dry matter is determined by its rate of  $CO_2$  fixation, photosynthetic area, and duration of crop, tillers/plant and environmental factor besides management practices [27].

## 4.2 Quality Parameters

Application of phosphorus was significant for all quality parameters except the ash content. Higher dry matter percentage, crude protein percentage and crude protein yield were obtained with P application of treatment  $T_7$  (75 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB 5 g/kg seed). However, significantly lowest dry matter percentage, crude protein percentage and crude protein yield with 45 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup>. It might be due to smaller plants height, less tillers/plant and number of branches with low level of Phosphorus [26]. Ayub et al. [16] reported the significant effect of P application on dry matter percentage and crude fibre content of cluster bean. Grewal et al. [28] and Yadav et al. [29] also recorded significant effect of P on crude protein percentage of cluster bean. Better quality green forage produced with 75 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> might be the results of better root development which provides a better habitat for the activity of biological nitrogen fixing bacteria. The higher root mass exploits the soil from surrounding more effectively and improves the nutrients availability for plants [25].

## 4.3 Economics

Among different treatments, application of treatment  $T_7$  (75 kg  $P_2O_5/ha + PSB 5$  g/kg seed) gave maximum gross returns (Rs. 87,660/ha), net returns (Rs. 59,412/ha) and B:C ratio (3.03) closely followed by treatment  $T_8$  (90 kg  $P_2O_5/ha + PSB 5$  g/kg). The result confirms the findings of Godara et al. [30].

## 5. CONCLUSION

On the basis of results obtained in present investigation it is concluded that treatment  $T_7$ (75 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB 5 g/kg seed) was found to be the best and closely followed by treatment T<sub>8</sub> (90 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB 5 g/kg seed) and treatment T<sub>3</sub> (75 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha) for morphological (plant height, no. of branches/plant, dry matter accumulation/plant) and quality (dry matter, crude protein, crude protein yield) parameters. Although, for profitability in berseem cultivation the treatment T<sub>7</sub> (75 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB 5 g/kg seed) was also found to be the best, which was closely followed by treatment T<sub>8</sub> (90 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB 5 g/kg seed), while the minimum profitability recorded with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha).

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Chatterjee BN, Das PK. In. Forage Crop Production Principles and Practices. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi; 1989.
- Zia MS, Gill MA, Aslam M, Hussain MF. Fertilizer use efficiency in Pakistan. Progressive Farming. 1991;11:35-38
- Keshwa GL, Singh H. Response of bajra varieties to nitrogen grown mixed with cluster bean for forage production in summers. Haryana. Journal of Agronomy. 1992;8:157-159.
- 4. Palsaniya DR, Dhyani SK, Rai P. Silvipasture in India: Present Perspectives and Challenges Ahead. Scientific Publishers, Jodhpur, India. 2011:207.
- 5. Sarvade S, Upadhyay VB, Agrawal SB. Quality fodder production through silvopastoral system: a review. In: Agroforestry Climate Resilience and Rural for Livelihood, Eds: Inder Dev, Asha Ram, Naresh Kumar, Ramesh Singh, Dhiraj Uthappa Handa Kumar, AR, AK, Chaturvedi OP. Scientific Publishers. Jodhpur (Raj.). 2019:345-359.
- Vijay D, Manjunatha N, Maity A, Kumar S, Wasnik VK, Gupta CK, Yadav VK, Ghosh PK. BERSEEM-Intricacies of Seed Production in India. Technical Bulletin. ICAR-Indian Grassland and Fodder Research Institute, Jhansi. 2017:47.
- Arnon DJ. Biochemistry ofphosphorus in plants. In soil and Fertilizer phosphorus in crop nutrition. Agronomy Monograph. Academic press. Inc. New York. 1953:4.
- 8. Naphade PC, Naphade KT. Root CEC and P fertilization in sunflower. Annals of Plant Physiology. 1991;5(2):247-252.
- 9. Yawalkar KS, Agarwal JP, Bokde S. Manures and fertilizers, Agri-Horti Publishing House, Nagpur. 1977:300.

- De, Rao RYY, Ali W. Grain and fodder legumes as preceeding crops affecting the yield and N - economy of rice. Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge. 1983; 101:463-466.
- Gyaneshwar P, Kumar GN, Parekh LJ, Poole PS. Role of soil microorganisms in improving P nutrition of plants. Plant Soil. 2002;245:83-93.
- Gyaneshwar P, Kumar GN, Parekh LJ. Effect of buffering on the phosphate solubilizing ability of microorganisms. World Journal of Microbiology Biotechnology. 1998;14:669-673.
- Bajpal PD, Rao WVBS. Phosphate solubilizing bacteria. Part 2.Extracellular production of organic acids by selected bacteria solubilizing insoluble phosphate. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. 1971;17:44-45.
- 14. Anonymous. Official Methods of Analysis. Association of the Official Analytical Chemist (AOAC). Arlington, Virginia, USA; 1990.
- 15. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. In. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. John Wiley and Sons, New York; 1984.
- 16. Ayub M, Ali SA, Tahir M, Tahir Sh, Tanveer A, Siddiqui MH. (Evaluating the role of phosphorus solubilizing bacterial inoculation and phosphorus application on forage yield and quality of cluster bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.). International Journal of Modern Agriculture. 2013;2(1):26-33
- Chintapalli, Basanti, Biyan, Subhash C, Dhuppara P, Rao DS. Studies on the potential of integrated nutrient management for improving the vegetative and reproductive performance of berseem crop. Forage Research. 2012; 37(4):248-250.
- El-Gizawy NKB, Mehasen SAS. Yield and seed quality responses of chickpea to inoculation with phosphorein, phosphourus fertilizer and spraying with iron. In. Proceedings of the 4th Scientific Conference of Agricultural Sciences, Assiut. 2004:791-802.
- 19. Dunan WG, Ohlrogge AJ. Principles of nutrient uptake from fertilizer bands 11. Root development in band. Agronomy Journal. 1958;50:605-608.

- 20. Boatwright GO, Viets FG. (Jr). Phosphorus absorption during various growth stages of spring wheat and intermediate grass. Agronomy Journal. 1966;58: 185-188.
- 21. Abbas M, Tomar SS, Nigam KB. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur fertilization in sunflower (*Carthamus tinetorius*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 1995;40(2): 243-245.
- 22. Hussain MM, Bains SS, Rajat DE. Studies on die effect of phosphate fertilization, cutting interval and varieties on forage yield and chemical composition in berseem. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 1976;21(4):375–378.
- Sardana V, Narwal SS. Effect of seed inoculation, nitrogen and phosphorus on the fodder and seed yields of Egyptian clover (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.). Indian J. Agron. 1999;44 (3):639 – 46.
- 24. Ranjan SRM, Pandey RK, Srivastava SL, Loloraya MM. Effect of phosphorus deficiency on metabolic changes in free amino acid in certain leguminous crop plants. Nature. 1962;193:997-998.
- Roy DC, Ray M, Tudu NK, Kundu CK. Impact of Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and Phosphorus Application on Forage Yield and Quality of Berseem in West Bengal. I.J.A.E.B. 2015;8(2): 315-321.
- 26. Shrivastava AK, Sarvade S, Bisen NK, Prajapati B, Agrawal SB, Goswami, Pooja. Growth and yield of rabi season forage crops under Chattisgarh plain of Madhya Pradesh, India. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci., 2020;9(2):878-885.
- 27. Jasjeet D, Pankaj K, Tiwari BN, Rakesh P. Chemo- pharmacological aspects of alfalfa: A Review. Journal of Advanced Scientific Research. 2011;2:50-53.
- 28. Grewal KS, Metha SC, Singh N. Quantity-intensity relationship of potassium as affected by continuous cropping and fertilizer application under different cropping sequences. Annals of Biology. 2004;20:149-152.
- 29. Yadav BK. Interaction effect of phosphorus and sulphur on yield and quality of cluster bean in typicHaplustept. World Journal of Agricultural Science. 2011;7: 556-560.

30. Godara AS, Satpal, Joshi UN, Jindal Y. Response of berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum L.*) genotypes to different phosphorus levels. Forage Res. 2016; 42:40-43.

© 2022 Chouhan et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/91433