



Chirped Gaussian Pulse Excitation of a Harmonic Oscillator

R. A. Alharbey^{1*}, H. Gasim¹, F. N. M. Al-Showaikh²
and S. S. Hassan²

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, P.O.Box 42696,
Jeddah 21551, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

²Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Bahrain, P.O.Box 32038,
Kingdom of Bahrain.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/PSIJ/2018/46248

Editor(s):

- (1) Dr. Olalekan David Adeniyi, Department of Chemical Engineering, Federal University of Technology, Nigeria.
(2) Dr. Christian Brosseau, Distinguished Professor, Department of Physics, Universit de Bretagne Occidentale, France.

Reviewers:

- (1) Pipat Chooto, Prince of Songkla University, Thailand.
(2) Pasupuleti Venkata Siva Kumar, Vallurupalli Nageswara Rao Vignana Jyothi Institute of Engineering & Technology, India.
(3) Adel H. Phillips, Ain-Shams University, Egypt.
(4) Ottman Belaidi, University of Constantine 1, Algeria.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/46248>

Received: 25 October 2018

Accepted: 05 January 2019

Published: 17 January 2019

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

We investigate the basic problem of the interaction of a single quantised mode of the radiation field, modelled as quantised harmonic oscillator (HO) with a laser pulse of chirped Gaussian line-shape. The average photon number and the transient emitted spectrum are calculated analytically in terms of the error function of complex argument. The spectral peaks of the line structure of the emitted radiation are examined for different system parameters and initial states of the HO.

Keywords: Harmonic Oscillator; quantum optics; radiation; wave radiation.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: rania.math@gmail.com

1 INTRODUCTION

The field of quantum optics is concerned with quantum properties of radiation-matter and radiation -radiation interaction. The simplest model of matter is a single atom of 2-level structure, whilst the simplest wave radiation is modelled as a simple harmonic oscillator (HO).

The model of a laser pulsed- driven- single quantised HO has been examined previously for different shapes of laser pulses. Specifically, the Fourier transform (FT) transient scattered spectrum of the HO was studied in the case of multi-mode rectangular pulse [1] and both the FT and wavelet spectra were studied in the case of \sin^2 -pulse shape [2-4].

In addition to its fundamental interest, HO represents two limiting cases: a large (infinite) number of Rydberg atoms in contact with thermal [5] or squeezed vacuum [6] reservoirs. Here, we extend the above investigation (HO \oplus pulsed laser) to the case of a chirped Gaussian laser pulse.

The paper is presented as follows: in sec 2, we present the corresponding Heisenberg equations of motion and their solutions in the case of chirped Gaussian pulse. In sec 3 and 4 we calculate and examine computationally the average photon number and the scattered spectrum of the HO, respectively. A summary is given in sec 5.

2 THE MODEL EQUATIONS

(a) Exact solutions

The Hamiltonian model representing the interaction of a single quantised (non-dissipative) HO with a (classical) laser pulse within the RWA is of the following form [2] (in units of $\hbar = 1$);

$$H = \omega_0 \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \Omega_0 (f(t) \hat{a}^\dagger e^{-i\omega_L t} + f^*(t) \hat{a} e^{i\omega_L t}) \quad (1)$$

where ω_0 is the HO frequency, ω_L is the oscillating frequency of the pulse envelope, $f(t)$ is the pulse shape function and Ω_0 is the pulse strength (Rabi frequency).

The first term of the Hamiltonian (1) is the unperturbed Hamiltonian of the HO, while the rest

of terms represent the interaction Hamiltonian between the HO and the driving pulse.

Heisenberg equations of motion for the operators \hat{a} and \hat{a}^\dagger according to the Hamiltonian (1) are of the form,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\hat{a}} &= -i\omega_0 \hat{a} - i\Omega_0 f(t) e^{-i\omega_L t} \\ \dot{\hat{a}}^\dagger &= i\omega_0 \hat{a}^\dagger + i\Omega_0 f^*(t) e^{i\omega_L t} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The formal operator solutions of (2) for arbitrary pulse shape $f(t)$ are given by ,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}(t) &= \hat{a}(t_0) e^{-i\omega_0 t} - i\Omega_0 e^{-i\omega_0 t} \int_{t_0}^t f(t') e^{-i\Delta t'} dt' \\ &= e^{-i\omega_0 t} \hat{A}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (3a)$$

$$\hat{a}^\dagger(t) = e^{i\omega_0 t} \hat{A}^\dagger(t) \quad (3b)$$

where,

$$\hat{A}(t) = \hat{a}(t_0) - i\Omega_0 I(t) \quad (4)$$

$$I(t) = \int_{t_0}^t f(t') e^{-i\Delta t'} dt' \quad (5)$$

and $\Delta = (\omega_L - \omega_0)$ is the frequency detuning parameter, and t_0 is the initial time (which depends on the switching of the pulse).

(b) Case of chirped Gaussian pulse.

The pulse shape in this case takes the form ,

$$f(t) = e^{-(1+iC)(\frac{t}{\tau_0})^2} \quad (6)$$

Where C is the chirp parameter, τ_0 is the $\frac{1}{2}$ -width of the pulse. For $C \neq 0$, $f(t)$ has the complex form,

$$f(\tau) = f_r(\tau) + i f_i(\tau); \quad \tau = \frac{t}{\tau_0} \quad (7a)$$

Where,

$$f_r(\tau) = e^{-\tau^2} \cos(C\tau^2) \quad (7b)$$

$$f_i(\tau) = e^{-\tau^2} \sin(C\tau^2) \quad (7c)$$

Effect of the chirp parameter is to stretch the pulse in an oscillatory pattern-as seen in Fig.1a at fixed values of $C = \pm 2$ and in Fig.1b for larger $C = \pm 6$. Increasing the value of $C = 6$ induces wave oscillations, while the negative value of (C) is to change the phase of the oscillations in the imaginary part function $f_i(t)$, as seen in the insets of Fig.1a,b. For fixed values of τ , both $f_r(C)$, $f_i(C)$ are purely sinusoidal functions of C .

Now, due to the *smooth* switch-on of the

Gaussian pulse we take $t_o \rightarrow -\infty$ (unlike the sharp switch-on of other pulses, like rectangular, at $t_o = 0$). Thus, the expression for $I(t)$, eq(5) with $f(t)$ given by (6) is expressed in terms of the error function (z) of complex argument,

$$I(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t f(t') e^{-i\Delta t'} dt' \quad (8a)$$

$$= \frac{\tau_o \sqrt{\pi}}{2\sqrt{a}} e^{\frac{b^2}{a}} (\text{erf}(C_1 t + C_2) + 1) \quad (8b)$$

where $a = 1 + iC$, $b = \frac{i\Delta\tau_o}{2}$, $C_1 = \frac{\sqrt{a^*}}{\tau_o}$, $C_2 = \frac{b^*}{\sqrt{a^*}}$ and the error function $\text{erf}(z) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^z e^{-t^2} dt$. (see, e.g.[7]).




Fig. 1a. The real and the imaginary parts of $f_r(\tau)$, $f_i(\tau)$ against (τ) at fixed value of $C = 2$.
Inset shows $f_i(\tau)$ for $c = -2$

3 AVERAGE PHOTON NUMBER

The HO photon number operator $\hat{n}(t) \equiv \hat{a}^\dagger(t)\hat{a}(t)$ using eq(3) is given by,

$$\hat{n}(t) = \hat{a}^\dagger(t_o)\hat{a}(t_o) + \Omega_o^2 |I(t)|^2 - i\Omega_o \hat{a}^\dagger(t_o)I(t) + i\Omega_o \hat{a}(t_o)I^*(t) \quad (9)$$

Where, $I(t)$ is given by (8) with $t_o = -\infty$. The averaged photon number $\bar{n}(t) = \langle \hat{n}(t) \rangle$ of the HO, in the general case of initial coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$ is given by,

$$\bar{n}_\alpha(t) = \langle \alpha | \hat{a}^\dagger(t_o)\hat{a}(t_o) | \alpha \rangle + \Omega_o^2 |I(t)|^2 - i\Omega_o (\langle \alpha | \hat{a}^\dagger(t_o) | \alpha \rangle I(t) - c.c.) \quad (10)$$

$$= |\alpha|^2 + \Omega_o^2 |I(t)|^2 + 2\Omega_o \text{Im}(\alpha^* I(t)) \quad (10a)$$

The first term in (10) represents the initial average photon number of the HO $\langle \hat{a}^\dagger(t_o)\hat{a}(t_o) \rangle = |\alpha|^2$, while the second term in Ω_o^2 represents the intensity of the (classical) pulse. The last term represents




Fig. 1b. As Fig.1a but for $C = 6$.

the change in the photon number $\bar{n}(t)$ due to the exchange of initial amplitude $\langle \hat{a}^\dagger(t_o) \rangle = \alpha^*$ of the HO with the driving pulse.

Two special cases of initial state for the HO are:

- (i) The zero (vacuum) number state $|0\rangle$, $\langle \hat{a}^\dagger(t_o)\hat{a}(t_o) \rangle = 0$, $\langle \hat{a}^\dagger(t_o) \rangle = 0$, and (10a) reduced to ,

$$\hat{n}_o(t) = \Omega_o^2 |I(t)|^2. \quad (10b)$$

- (ii) In the number state $|n\rangle$ ($n \neq 0$), $\langle \hat{a}^\dagger(t_o)\hat{a}(t_o) \rangle = n_o$, $\langle \hat{a}^\dagger(t_o) \rangle = 0$, and (10a) reduced to,

$$\bar{n}_{n_o}(t) = n_o + \Omega_o^2 |I(t)|^2 \quad (10c)$$

The computational of plots of the normalised average photon numbers $\bar{n}_o(t)$, $\bar{n}_{n_o}(t)$, $\bar{n}_\alpha(t)$, eqs(10b, c, a) normalised to its maximum values are shown in fig(2-4).

(i) Initial vacuum state.

At exact resonance ($\Delta = 0$) and for $C = 0$, Fig.2a shows that the normalized average photon number $\bar{n}_o(\tau)$ is independent of the pulse strength Ω_o^2 and reaches its stationary maximum value monotonically around the normalized time $\tau = 2$. For non-zero chirp $|C| = 10$, the reach to a lesser value of the steady state is oscillatory within the period (0, 2). In the off resonance case ($\Delta' = \Delta\tau_o = 4$) and $C = 0$, Fig.2b shows that $n_o(\tau)$ has essentially a Gaussian profile. For $\tau > 0$ and positive $C = 4$, the amplitude of oscillations is reduced, while for negative $C = -4$, the approach to the steady value is much less oscillatory. For Δ, C of the same (opposite) sign the results are the same as the full (dotted) lines. The steady state value is less reduced for $C = 4$ compared with negative $C = -4$.

(ii) Initial number state , $n_o = 1, \Omega'_o = 10$.

In the resonance case ($\Delta = 0$) and $C = 0$, Fig.3a shows that the normalized average photon number $\bar{n}_{n_o}(\tau)$ has a monotonic behaviour similar to Fig.2a of the vacuum state. For non-zero positive chirp, $\bar{n}_{n_o}(\tau)$ has a peak around $\tau = 0$ with prominent oscillations

for $\tau > 0$, while for negative chirping reduced oscillations show only for $\tau > 0$. For $\Delta = 4$ and $C = 0$, the profile shown in Fig.3b is a main peak at $\tau = 0$ with two small symmetrical side peaks. With positive $C = 4$, the small peak for $\tau < 0$ is flattened while the peak for $\tau > 0$ switches to a decaying oscillatory pattern. For $C = -4$, there is dimensioning two peaks for $\tau < 0$, while for $\tau > 0$ the approach to the long time value is much less oscillatory, compared with the positive $C = 4$. For $\Delta = -4$, the full ($C = 4$) and the dotted ($C = -4$) lines are exchanged.

(iii) Initial coherent state , $\alpha = 5, \Omega'_o = 10$.

For real amplitude ($\theta = 0$) of the coherent parameter α and at exact resonance $\Delta = 0$, Fig.4a, shows that the monotonic profile of the normalized average photon number $\bar{n}_\alpha(\tau)$ in the case $C = 0$ turns to asymmetric oscillatory behaviour with respect to $\tau = 0$ for positive C . For negative C we have the same behaviour but with a lesser amplitude of oscillations. In the off-resonance case ($\Delta = 4$) and $C = 0$ the profile shown in Fig.4b has the shape of "Mexican hat". This turns for $C = 4$ to oscillatory behaviour for $\tau > 0$ and fading oscillation for $\tau < 0$. The opposite behaviour is obtained for $C = -4$. For $\Delta = -4$ and $C = 0$, the profile shown in Fig.4c is an inverted Mexican hat which turns to asymmetric profile for $C = 4$ with decaying oscillatory for $\tau < 0$ and much reduced oscillation for $\tau > 0$. The behaviour for $\tau \leq 0$ is reversed for $C = -4$.

In all the above three initial states of HO, similar signs for (C, Δ) leads to a lesser steady value of $n(\tau)$, as compared with opposite signs of (C, Δ) .




Fig. 2a. Normalised average photon number for $\bar{n}_o(\tau)$ for the initial vacuum state at $\Delta' = 0$ and for $|C| = 0, 10$.

4 TRANSIENT SCATTERED SPECTRUM

Information about the scattered radiation due to the interaction of the HO with the driving pulse is achieved through the transient spectrum function. This is given by (e.g.[2]),




Fig. 2b. Same as Fig.2a but for $\Delta' = 4$ and $C = 0, \pm 4$.




Fig. 3a. The same as Fig.2a but for $\bar{n}_{n_o}(\tau)$ in the initial number state with $n_o = 1, \Omega'_o = 10, |C| = 0, 10, \Delta' = 0$

$$S(t, D, \Gamma) = 2\Gamma \int_{-\infty}^t dt_1 \int_{-\infty}^t dt_2 e^{(-\Gamma+iD)(t-t_1)} e^{(-\Gamma-iD)(t-t_2)} \langle \hat{A}^\dagger(t_1) \hat{A}(t_2) \rangle \quad (11)$$

where $D = \omega - \omega_o$ is the frequency mismatch between the frequency (ω) of the instrument (photon detector) and the HO frequency (ω_o), and Γ is the detector's width. The function $\langle \hat{A}^\dagger(t_1) \hat{A}(t_2) \rangle$, with $\hat{A}(t)$ given by (4) and (5), is the auto-correlation function for the shift (creation and annihilation)




Fig. 3b. Same as Fig.2b but for the initial number state n_o and for $\Delta' = 4, C = 0, \pm 4$




Fig. 4a. Same as Fig.2a but for $\bar{n}_\alpha(\tau)$ in the initial coherent state with $\theta = 0, \alpha = 5, \Omega'_o = 10$

operators. From (4) and its conjugated, we have

$$\langle \hat{A}^\dagger(t_1) \hat{A}(t_2) \rangle = \langle \hat{a}^\dagger(t_o) \hat{a}(t_o) \rangle + \Omega_o^2 I^*(t_1) I(t_2) - i\Omega_o \langle \hat{a}^\dagger(t_o) I(t_2) \rangle + i\Omega_o \langle \hat{a}(t_o) I^*(t_1) \rangle \quad (12)$$

Where $I(t)$ is given by (8).

Inserting (12) into (11), we have the following form for the spectrum,

$$S(t, D, \Gamma) = 2\Gamma e^{-2\Gamma t} [n(t_o) |J_1(t)|^2 + \Omega_o^2 |J_2(t)|^2 - 2\Omega_o \text{Im}(\bar{a}(0) J_1^*(t) J_2(t))] \quad (13)$$




Fig. 4b. Same as Fig.4a but with $\Delta' = 4, C = 0, \pm 4$




Fig. 4c. Same as Fig.4a but with $\Delta' = -4, C = 0, \pm 4$

where, $\bar{n}(t_o) = \langle a^\dagger(t_o)a(t_o) \rangle, \bar{a}(t_o) = \langle \hat{a}(t_o) \rangle$, and the quantities $J_{1,2}(t)$ are given by,

$$J_1(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t e^{(\Gamma-iD)t'} dt' = \frac{e^{(\Gamma-iD)t}}{\Gamma-iD}. \quad (14a)$$

$$J_2(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t e^{(\Gamma_1-iD)t'} I^*(t') dt' \quad (14b)$$

$$= \frac{\tau_o}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a^*}} e^{\frac{b^2}{a^*}} \int_{-\infty}^t \left(\text{erf}\left(\sqrt{a} \frac{t'}{\tau_o} + \frac{b}{\sqrt{a}}\right) + 1 \right) e^{-(\Gamma-iD)t'} dt'$$

$$= \frac{\tau_o \sqrt{\pi}}{2\sqrt{a^*}} e^{\frac{b^2}{a^*}} (A_1(t) - J_1(t)) \quad (15a)$$

Where,

$$A_1(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma - iD} e^{-dC_2} \left[e^{dC_2} e^{(\Gamma - iD)t} \operatorname{erf}(C_1 t + C_2) - e^{\frac{d^2}{4}} \left(\operatorname{erf}\left(c_1 t + c_2 - \frac{d}{2}\right) + 1 \right) \right] \quad (15b)$$

With, $d = \frac{\Gamma - iD}{C_1}$, $C_{1,2}, a, b$ are given below eq.(8b).

Effect of pulse shape, through $I^*(t)$ in $J_2(t)$, is shown in the last two terms in (13), While the first term is only associated with the initial average photon number, $\bar{n}(t_o)$, of the HO.

We consider the three cases of initial conditions of the HO.

- (a) The HO is initially in the zero (vacuum) number state $|0\rangle$, $\bar{n}(t_o) = 0, \bar{a}(t_o) = 0$, so (13) is reduced to,

$$S_o(t, D, \Gamma) = 2\Gamma\Omega_o^2 e^{-2\Gamma t} |J_2(t)|^2 \quad (16a)$$

- (b) The HO is initially in the number state $|n\rangle$ ($n \neq 0$), $\bar{n}(t_o) = n_o, \bar{a}(t_o) = 0$, and (13) is reduced to ,

$$S_{n_o}(t, D, \Gamma) = 2\Gamma e^{-2\Gamma t} (n_o |J_1(t)|^2 + \Omega_o^2 |J_2(t)|^2) \quad (16b)$$

- (c) The HO is initially in the coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$, $\bar{n}(t_o) = |\alpha|^2, \bar{a}(t_o) = \alpha$, and (13) is of the general form,

$$S_\alpha(t, D, \Gamma) = 2\Gamma e^{-2\Gamma t} [|\alpha|^2 |J_1(t)|^2 + \Omega_o^2 |J_2(t)|^2 - 2\Omega_o \operatorname{Im}(\alpha J_1^*(t) J_2(t))] \quad (16c)$$

The computational plots of the normalised spectra $S_{o,n_o,\alpha}(D') = \frac{S_{o,n_o,\alpha}(t, D, \Gamma)}{\max(S(t, D, \Gamma))}$, eqs(16), are shown in the following Figs. (5-7).




Fig. 5a. The normalised spectrum $S_o(D')$ in the initial vacuum state for

$\tau = 0.7\pi, \tau'_o = 0.4, c = 0$ and different $\Delta' = 0, 9, 15$




Fig. 5b. Same as Fig.5a but for $C = 1, -1$.




Fig. 6. The normalised spectrum $S_{n_o}(D')$ in the initial number state for $\tau = 0.1\pi, \tau'_o = 1, |C| = 5, n_o = 1, \Omega' = 10$ and different $\Delta' = 0, 9, 15$

(i) Initial vacuum state

For observation time $\tau = 0.7\pi$ and for pulse $\frac{1}{2}$ -width $\tau'_o = 0.4, C = 0$ and increasing detuning $\Delta' = 0, 9, 15$, the normalised spectrum $S_o(D')$ in Fig. 5a shows that the symmetric single peak at $D' = 0$ has asymmetric structure, which, with larger Δ' it develops to a

broader peak at $D' \simeq \Delta'$. For non-zero $|C| = 1$ and for larger $\Delta' = 15$, Fig. 5b shows that the central Lorentzian at $D' = 0$ is accompanied with enhanced oscillation of larger amplitude for positive C , compared with negative C . These oscillations disappear for larger $|C| = 3$.




Fig. 7. The normalised spectrum $S_\alpha(D')$ in the initial coherent state for

$$\tau = 0.3\pi, |\Delta'| = 5, 8, 12, \tau_o = 0.3, \alpha = 1, \theta = 0.5\pi, |C| = 3$$

(ii) Initial number state

The effect of the initial number state ($n_o = 1$) on the normalised spectrum $S_{n_o}(D')$ is best seen in Fig.6 for $\Omega_o \gg n_o$, $C = 5$. For early observation, $\tau = 0.1\pi$ and pulse $\frac{1}{2}$ -width $\tau'_o = 1$, the spectrum has a distorted broader peak and flattened top to the left (right) of $D' = 0$ for $C \geq 0$, respectively. With increased detuning Δ' , the central Lorentzian structure at $D' = 0$ gets narrower with fading oscillations due to the chirp parameter, $C \neq 0$.

(iii) Initial coherent state

For observation time $\tau' = 0.3\pi$ and $\tau'_o = 0.3$, the normalised spectrum with the initial coherent state $S_\alpha(D')$ in Fig.7 shows that in the off-resonance case ($\Delta' \neq 0$) there is an asymmetric hole burning structure that depends on the sign of the chirp parameter C .

and computationally. This concerns:

- (i) The average photon number $\bar{n}(t)$ of the HO (related to its energy), and
- (ii) The transient scattered radiation spectrum $S(t, D, \Gamma)$.

Exact expressions of $\bar{n}(t)$, $S(t, D, \Gamma)$ are obtained in terms of the error function of complex argument and for arbitrary initial state of the HO.

The system parameters (pulse strength, frequency detuning, chirp parameter, initial HO state) induce asymmetry and oscillatory structure in the spectrum.

Further work may generalise the present model to the following cases:

- (a) A train of chirped Gaussian laser pulses, where the combined effect of repetition period between pulses and the chirped parameter introduce an additional control parameter,
- (b) The case of anharmonic oscillator, as realised in Josephson device [8], where available giant non-linearity parameter [9] may further cause asymmetry in the scattered spectrum.

5 CONCLUSION

The model of a single quantized harmonic oscillator (HO) coupled with a single chirped Gaussian laser pulse is examined analytically

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

- [1] Joshi A, Hassan SS. Resonance fluorescence spectra of a two-level atom and of a harmonic oscillator with multimode rectangular laser pulses. J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 2002;35:1985-2003.
- [2] Hassan SS, Alharbey RA, Al-Zaki H. Transient spectrum of \sin^2 -pulsed driven harmonic oscillator. J. Nonlinear Optical Phys. and Materials. 2014;23:1450052-66.
- [3] Hassan SS, Alharbey RA, Jarad T. Transient spectrum of pulsed-driven harmonic oscillator: Damping and pulse shape effects. Nonlinear Optics and Quantum Optics. 2018;48:277-288.
- [4] Hassan SS, Alharbey RA, Matar G. Haar wavelet Spectrum of \sin^2 -pulsed driven harmonic oscillator. Nonlinear Optics and Quantum Optics. 2016;48:29-39.
- [5] Hassan SS, Hildred GP, Puri RR, Bullough RK. Incoherently driven Dicke model. 1982 J. Phys. B. 1982;15:2635-55.
- [6] Wahiddin MR, Hassan SS, Bullough RK. Cooperative atomic behaviour and oscillator formation in a squeezed vacuum. J. Modern Optics. 1995;42:171-189.
- [7] Andrews LC. Special functions of mathematics for engineers, (Oxford, Univ. Press, Oxford), 2nd ed; 1998.
- [8] Gevorgyan TV, Shahinyan AR, Yu G. Kryuchkyan, generation of Fock states and qubits in periodically pulsed nonlinear oscillators. Phys. Rev. A. 2012;85:053802.
- [9] Claudon J, Zazunov A, Hekking FWJ, Buisson O. Rabi-like oscillations of an anharmonic oscillator: Classical versus quantum interpretation. Phys. Rev. B. 2008;78:184503.

©2018 Alharbey et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/46248>