

International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health

26(2): 1-9, 2017; Article no.IJTDH.36599 ISSN: 2278–1005, NLM ID: 101632866

Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of Hand Hygiene among Healthcare Providers in Semi-urban Communities of Sokoto State, Nigeria

Umar M. Ango^{1*}, Kehinde J. Awosan¹, Habibullahi Adamu¹, Shamsudeen Salawu¹, Musa M. Sani¹ and Asma'u H. Ibrahim¹

¹Department of Community Health, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Authors UMA and KJA gave the study concept and design, and drafted the manuscript. Authors HA, SS, MMS and AHI gave the study concept and design, and performed data collection, analysis and interpretation. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJTDH/2017/36599 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Shankar Srinivasan, Department of Health Informatics, University of Medicine & Dentistry of New Jersey, USA. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Emmanuel, Eyitayo, Ekiti State University, Nigeria. (2) Magda Moawad Mohamed Mohsen, Menoufia University, Egypt. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/21239</u>

Original Research Article

Received 1st September 2017 Accepted 25th September 2017 Published 6th October 2017

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Healthcare providers have been identified as the most common vehicle for transmission of hospital acquired infections (HAIs) from patient to patient and within the healthcare environment. Large proportions of the infections acquired in the hospital are attributed to cross contamination and transmission of microbes from hands of healthcare providers (HCPs) to patients. Hand hygiene has been identified as the single most important, simplest and least expensive means of preventing HAIs. This study aimed to determine the knowledge, attitude and practice of hand hygiene among healthcare providers in semi-urban communities of Sokoto State, Nigeria. **Methods:** A cross-sectional study was conducted among 144 healthcare providers selected by a multistage sampling technique. Data were collected with a set of pretested self-administered, semi-structured questionnaire. Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS version 20 statistical package. **Results:** The mean age of the respondents was 32.1 ± 7.4 years, and majority of them were aged 20 - 39 years (81.2%), females (59.0%) and married (65.3%). Most of the respondents (71.5%)

were community health extension workers (CHEWs) and nurses/midwives. One hundred and thirtytwo (91.7%) of the 144 respondents had good knowledge of hand hygiene; but about a third of respondents (31.9%) had the misconception that hand washing should be done before touching patients' files. Most of the respondents demonstrated positive attitude to hand hygiene. Most of them would attend workshop/training on hand hygiene (95.1%), and would advise their colleagues to do so (94.4%). One hundred and thirty-nine (96.5%) of the 144 respondents reported observing hand hygiene practices; of these, only two-thirds, 97 (69.8%) do so consistently. The main reasons cited for not observing hand hygiene practices consistently were unavailability of soap (88.1%) and irregular water supply (51.0%).

Conclusion: Although, knowledge, attitude and practice of hand hygiene were good among the respondents in this study, unavailability of soap and lack of constant water supply remain major constraints. Government and other stakeholders should provide adequate water, and materials for sanitation and hygiene in the healthcare facilities.

Keywords: Knowledge; attitude; practice; hand hygiene; healthcare providers.

1. INTRODUCTION

Considering the tremendous advancement in medical care in the past few decades, it is strange that the healthcare settings still remain unsafe for patients worldwide, principally as a result of hospital acquired infections (HAIs) [1]. Healthcare providers (HCPs) have been identified as the most common vehicle for transmission of HAIs from patient to patient and within the healthcare environment [2]. Large proportions of the infections acquired in the hospital are attributed to cross contamination and transmission of microbes from hands of HCPs to patients [3]. Numerous infections are still acquired from both patients and HCPs through poor hand hygiene, unsafe use of injections, medical devices and blood products, inadequate surgical procedures and deficiencies in medical waste disposal [4]. In addition to these factors, an unfavorable social background and population largely affected by malnutrition and other types of infections and/or diseases contribute to the increased risk of HAIs in developing countries [5].

Multidrug–resistant pathogens are commonly involved in such infections and render effective treatment difficult [6]. Prevalence studies of HAIs in the developing countries have reported higher rates than in the developed countries [7]. The total number of hand exposures in a hospital may range from several tens to thousands per day [7]. With each hand-to-surface exposure, a two directional exchange of microorganisms occurs between hands and the touched object and the transient hand-carried flora is thus continuously changing [8]. It has also been found that a substantial proportion of the healthcare workers' hand flora gradually gets replaced by pathogenic microorganisms, which can spread throughout a health care environment in a short span of time [8].

Hospital acquired infections pose a very real and serious threat to all who are admitted in the hospitals [9]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), HAIs affect an estimated 1.4 million patients at any time worldwide [10]. Hand hygiene has been identified as the single most important, simplest and least expensive means of preventing HAIs [6]. It is the practice which keeps the hands free from pathogens, or decreases the quantity of pathogen, prior to any procedure or touching the patient [11].

Evidence from studies has shown that improved hand hygiene has substantially reduced nosocomial infections and cross-contamination of multi resistant infections in hospitals [3]. It has also been shown to be associated with significant decrease in overall rates of HAIs and respiratory infections in particular [12]. Hand hygiene in the health care setting has been encouraged for generations and has been identified as the single most important intervention for preventing the transmission of infections [8]. Specifically, hand washing is recommended before and after every contact with patient to break the chain of infection [13]. Even though evidenced based guidelines for HCPs hand hygiene practices exist in many healthcare facilities, compliance with these are internationally low [14]; hand hygiene compliance rates among HCPs rarely exceeds 50% [9].

While lack of awareness and scientific knowledge regarding hand hygiene is believed to be a significant factor that could lead to inappropriate hand hygiene practices [15],

misconceptions regarding hand hygiene are believed to contribute to low compliance; for instance, when gloves are used as an alternative to hand hygiene, or the notion that skin irritation arises from frequent hand hygiene practices [16]. Other factors that have been found to contribute to poor hand hygiene practices in the healthcare settings include increased workloads due to under-staffing, lack of organizational commitment to good hand hygiene practices, and inadequate or lack of hand hygiene products and facilities such as running water, sinks, antiseptic or nonantiseptic soaps, alcohol hand-rubs and hand paper towels [17]. It has been found that most of the wards in the Nigerian hospitals lack adequate facilities for effective hand hygiene practices, and with the 'bowl-and-bucket method' being used as an alternative to running water [18].

Variations in the practice of hand hygiene across the hospital wards and among the different cadres of HCPs have been found to be associated with good knowledge of hand hygiene [19]. Also, while previous studies across the globe including Nigeria and Egypt reported positive attitude towards hand hygiene by an overwhelming majority of healthcare providers [12,20], widely varied and lower proportions of healthcare providers showed good knowledge of hand hygiene [21,22], and/or engaged in appropriate hand hygiene practices [13,23]. In the developing countries of sub-Saharan Africa, while a larger proportion of the populations reside in the semi-urban and rural areas, the healthcare facilities and manpower are concentrated in the urban areas [24]. The disproportionately high workload in the poorly equipped healthcare facilities in the semi-urban and rural areas therefore make full compliance with hand hygiene practices the only feasible means of preventing nosocomial transmission of infections in these facilities. Although, several studies regarding knowledge, attitude and practice of hand hygiene have been conducted among healthcare workers in Nigeria, most of them were isolated studies conducted in the tertiary healthcare facilities which are majorly situated in the urban cities across the country [12,21,25,26]; and there is a dearth of literature on the knowledge, attitude and practice of hand hygiene among healthcare workers in the lower levels of care obtainable in the semi-urban and rural areas of the country. This study was conducted to assess the knowledge, attitude and practice of hand hygiene among healthcare providers in semi-urban communities of Sokoto State, Nigeria. The findings would be invaluable

in designing strategies for promoting compliance with appropriate hand hygiene practices among healthcare providers; and in preventing HAIs in the healthcare facilities.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This cross-sectional descriptive study was carried out among healthcare providers in health facilities in Wamakko Local Government Area (LGA) of Sokoto state. Nigeria. in November and December 2016. All healthcare providers in the government owned health facilities in Wamakko LGA were considered eligible for enrollment into the study. The sample size was estimated at 144 using the Fisher's formula for calculating the sample size for descriptive studies [27], a 90.0% prevalence of compliance with WHO guideline regarding practice of hand washing with soap and water from a previous study [28], precision level of 5%, and an anticipated 95% response rate. The eligible participants were selected by a 2-stage sampling technique. At the first stage, one health facility was selected from each of the 11 political wards in Wamakko LGA by simple random sampling using the balloting option. At the second stage, eligible participants were selected in each of the selected health facilities (in direct proportion to the number of staff in the respective health facilities) by systematic sampling technique using the staff list in the respective health facilities to constitute the sampling frame.

semi-structured. self-administered А questionnaire was developed and used to obtain information on respondent's socio-demographic characteristics, and knowledge, attitude and practice of hand hygiene. The questionnaire was reviewed by senior researchers in the department to ascertain content validity. It was then pretested on 15 healthcare providers at Yar-Akija Primary Healthcare Centre, Sokoto-South LGA of Sokoto State, Nigeria. Some questions were rephrased for clarity based on the observations made during the pretesting. Four final year medical students and two medical records assisted staff in questionnaire administration after pre-training on conduct of survey research, the study objectives, and questionnaire administration. Ethical clearance was obtained from the Ethical committee of Sokoto State Ministry of Health, Sokoto, Nigeria. Permission to conduct the study was obtained from the administration of Wamakko LGA, while informed written consent was also obtained from the participants before data collection.

Data were analyzed using the IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20. Respondents' knowledge of hand hygiene was scored and graded on a 13-point scale. One point was awarded for a correct response, while a wrong response or a non-response received no points. This gives a minimum score of '0' and a maximum score of '13' points. Those that scored greater than 50% of the maximum knowledge score (i.e., \geq 7 of 13 points) were considered as having 'good' knowledge, while those that scored less than 50% of the maximum knowledge score (i.e., < 7 of 13 points) were graded as having 'poor' knowledge [29]. Frequency runs were done for further editing and cleansing of the edata. Frequency distribution tables were constructed; and cross tabulations were done to examine relationship between categorical variables. Chi-square and Fisher's exact tests of independent association was used to test for relationship between categorical variables. All levels of significance were set at p < 0.05.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Socio-demographic Characteristics of Respondents

All the 144 questionnaires administered were retrieved and analyzed. The ages of the respondents ranged from 18 to 57 years (mean = 32.1 ± 7.4 years), and majority of them were aged 20 - 39 years (81.2%), females (59.0%) and married (65.3%). Most of the respondents were Muslims (77.1%), and also were community health extension workers (CHEWs) and nurses/midwives (71.5%) by cadre. The majority of respondents have practiced for 5 years and above (55.6%) as shown in Table 1.

3.2 Respondents' Knowledge of Hand Hygiene

One hundred and thirty-two (91.7%) of the 144 respondents had good knowledge of hand hygiene. A majority of the respondents (74.3%) knew hand hygiene to mean washing hand with soap and water or sanitizer before and after touching a patient; and most of them (89.6%) knew that it should be done consistently. Majority of the respondents knew the materials needed for hand hygiene and the moments to observe it. However, about a third of the respondents (31.9%) had the misconception that hand washing should be done before touching patients' files. Most of the respondents also knew

the hazards of a contaminated hand (93.1%) and the benefits of observing proper hand hygiene (97.9%) as shown in Table 2. There was no association between good knowledge of hand hygiene and any of the respondents' sociodemographic variables.

| Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics |
|--|
| of respondents |

| Veriables | F |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| variables | Frequency (%) $(n = 144)$ |
| Age group (in years) | (11 - 144) |
| Relow 20 | 2 (2 1) |
| | S(Z, I) |
| 20 - 29 | 53(30.8) |
| 30-39 | 64 (44.4) |
| 40-49 | 20 (13.9) |
| 50 and above | 4 (2.8) |
| Sex | |
| Male | 59 (41.0) |
| Female | 85 (59.0) |
| Marital status | |
| Single | 41 (28.5) |
| Married | 94 (65.3) |
| Separated | 5 (3.5) |
| Widowed | 4 (2.8) |
| Religion | (), |
| Islam | 111 (77.1) |
| Christianity | 33 (22.9) |
| Cadre | |
| Doctor | 19 (13.2) |
| Nurse/Midwife | 49 (34.0) |
| Laboratory scientist | 14 (9.7) |
| Community health extension | 54 (37.5) |
| Worker | 8 (5.6) |
| Pharmacist | |
| Working experience (in | |
| years) | 64 (44.4) |
| Below 5 years | 80 (55.6) |
| 5 years and above | . , |

3.3 Respondents' Attitude to Hand Hygiene

Most of the respondents demonstrated positive attitude to hand hygiene. Most of them would attend workshop/training on hand hygiene (95.1%), and would advise their colleagues to do so (94.4%). Most of them believed it is important to observe hand hygiene before and after touching a patient (93.1%), and would advise their colleagues to observe same (93.1%). Majority of the respondents (65.3%) believed there is need to wash hand with soap and water even if one has used a sanitizer (Table 3).

| Variables | Correct response Frequency (%) (n = 144) |
|--|--|
| Meaning of hand hygiene | |
| Wash hand with soap and water or sanitizer before and after touching a patient | 107 (74.3) |
| When to observe hand hygiene | |
| Always before and after touching a patient | 129 (89.6) |
| Materials that are needed for hand hygiene | |
| Constant water supply | 95 (66.0) |
| Soap | 140 (97.2) |
| Hand sanitizer | 123 (85.4) |
| Hand gloves | 124 (86.1) |
| Moments to observe hand washing | |
| Before touching a patient | 131 (91.0) |
| After body fluid exposure risk | 131 (91.0) |
| After touching a patient | 135 (93.8) |
| Before touching patient files | 46 (31.9) |
| After touching patient surrounding | 137 (95.1) |
| Contaminated hand can be a vehicle for transmitting infection between healthcare providers to patients | 134 (93.1) |
| Proper hand hygiene can protect both the healthcare provider and the patient from contracting disease | 141 (97.9) |
| Knowledge grade | Frequency (%) |
| Good | 132 (91.7) |
| Poor | 12 (8.3) |

Table 2. Respondents' knowledge of hand hygiene

Table 3. Respondents' attitude to hand hygiene

| Variables | Frequency (%) (n = 144) |
|--|----------------------------|
| Would attend a workshop/training on hand hygiene if invited | 137 (95.1) |
| Would advise colleagues to attend workshop/training on hand hygiene if invited | 136 (94.4) |
| Believed it is important to observe hand hygiene before and after touching a patient | 134 (93.1) |
| Would advise colleagues to observe hand hygiene before and after touching a patient | 134 (93.1) |
| Believed there is need to wash hand with soap and water even if one has used a sanitizer | 94 (65.3) |

3.4 Respondents' Hand Hygiene Practices

One hundred and thirty-nine (96.5%) of the 144 respondents reported observing hand hygiene practices; of these, only two-thirds, 97 (69.8%) do so consistently. Majority of the respondents (87.8%) reported observing hand hygiene practices before and after attending to patients; and the most commonly used materials were soap, water and sanitizers (71.3%). Although, less than a half of the respondents (44.6%) observe hand hygiene practices before putting on gloves, most of them (93.5%) do so after

removing gloves (Table 4). The main reasons cited for not observing hand hygiene practices consistently were unavailability of soap (88.1%) and irregular water supply (51.0%) as shown in Table 5.

4. DISCUSSION

The respondents in this study were of a relatively young population with a mean age of 32.1 ± 7.4 years, and majority of them (81.2%) were between the ages of 20 and 39 years. This could be due to the fact that close to half of them (44.4%) were newly recruited and have spent

| Variables | Frequency (%) |
|--|---------------|
| Observe hand hygiene practices while attending to patients (n = 144) | |
| Yes | 139 (96.5) |
| No | 5 (3.5) |
| How often hand hygiene is observed (n = 139) | |
| Always | 97 (69.8) |
| Occasionally | 42 (30.2) |
| When hand hygiene is observed (n = 139) | |
| Before attending to patient only | 8 (5.8) |
| After attending to patient only | 9 (6.4) |
| Before and after attending to patient | 122 (87.8) |
| Materials used for hand hygiene (n = 139) | |
| Water only | 2 (1.4) |
| Water and soap | 21 (15.1) |
| Hand sanitizer only | 17 (12.2) |
| Water, soap and sanitizer | 99 (71.3) |
| Observe hand hygiene before putting on gloves | |
| (n = 139) | |
| Yes | 62 (44.6) |
| No | 77 (55.2) |
| Observe hand hygiene after removing gloves | |
| (n = 139) | |
| Yes | 130 (93.5) |
| No | 9 (6.5) |

Table 4. Respondents' hand hygiene practices

less than 5 years in service. While this compares well with the age distribution of respondents in another study conducted in Nigeria [12], in which majority of the respondents were aged 25 to 34 years with a mean age of 31.3 ± 6.8 years, it differs from the finding in another study conducted in Ghana, that reported a much younger population, with majority of respondents between the ages of 20 and 29 years [23].

Table 5. Reasons for not observing hand hygiene practices consistently

| *Reason(s) | Frequency (%) (n = 42) |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Irregular water supply | 20 (51.0) |
| Inconveniently located sink | 11 (26.2) |
| Unavailability of hand sanitizer | 17 (40.5) |
| Unavailability of soap | 37 (88.1) |
| *** * *** * *** *** | , |

*Multiple responses allowed

Majority of the respondents in this study (59.0%) were females, this could due to the fact that community health extension workers (CHEW), and nurses/midwives constitute a majority of respondents (71.5%), and these professions are generally considered to be women professions. Most of the respondents in this study (91.7%)

demonstrated good knowledge of hand hygiene. This finding is in consonance with the findings in studies conducted in tertiary healthcare facilities situated in urban areas including Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Lagos, Nigeria [12], and a Multispecialty Hospital in India [22] that reported 83.0% and 90.0% prevalence of good knowledge of hand hygiene respectively. The good knowledge of hand hygiene among most of the respondents in this study and other studies conducted in different populations across Nigeria could be due to the mass awareness campaign on hand hygiene that was carried out across the country following the recent outbreak of Ebola Virus Disease (EVD). Reports from studies conducted in different populations across Nigeria showed significant improvement in knowledge and practice of hand hygiene after the EVD outbreak as compared to before the outbreak [30,31].

Most of the respondents in this study showed positive attitude towards hand hygiene as they were willing to attend training workshop on hand hygiene (95.1%), and also encourage their colleagues to do so (94.4%). They also considered observing hand hygiene before and after touching a patient to be important (93.1%)

and would advice their colleagues to do so (93.1%). These findings compare well with the findings in studies conducted in Nigeria, Egypt and Italy, that reported 96.7%, 96.0% and 86.2% prevalence of positive attitude towards hand hygiene respectively [10,12,20].

Although, an overwhelming majority of the respondents in this study (96.5%) observed hand hygiene practices, only about two-thirds (69.8%) do so consistently; and this is similar to the 67% prevalence of compliance with hand hygiene practices reported in another study conducted in Ghana [23]. The barriers to compliance with hand hygiene practices included qood unavailability of soap (88.1%), and lack of constant water supply (51.0%). Similar obstacles to the practice of hand hygiene were also reported in the study conducted in Ghana [23]. These findings corroborate the submission World Health Organization on by the the appalling state of water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) services in health care facilities in low- and middle-income countries [32], and they re-emphasize the need for governments and other stakeholders to make provision of adequate water and materials for sanitation and hygiene in the health care facilities a top priority.

5. LIMITATION OF THE STUDY

The main limitation in this study is deliberate misinformation by the study subjects regarding their hand hygiene practices, as the data obtained was based on self-reported practices instead of direct observation.

6. CONCLUSION

Although, knowledge, attitude and practice of hand hygiene were good among the respondents in this study, unavailability of soap and lack of constant water supply remain major constraints. Government and other stakeholders should provide adequate water, and materials for sanitation and hygiene in the healthcare facilities.

CONSENT

As per international standard or university standard, patient's written consent has been collected and preserved by the authors.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

As per international standard or university standard, written approval of Ethics committee has been collected and preserved by the authors.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. World Health Organization (WHO). WHO Guidelines on Hand Hygiene in Health Care. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO; 2009. Available:<u>https://www.whqlibdoc.who.int/pu blications/2009/978924159797906_eng.pd</u> f
- 2. Allegranzi B, Pittet D. Role of hand hygiene in health care associated Infection prevention. J Hosp Infect. 2009;73(4):305-15.
- Mathai AS, George SE, Abraham J. Efficacy of multi-model interventional strategy in improving hand hygiene compliance in tertiary level intensive care unit. Indian J Critical Care Med. 2011;15(1):6-15.
- Pittet D, Allegranzi B, Storr J, Bagheri NS, Dziekan G, Leotsakos A, et al. Infection control as a major WHO priority for developing countries. J Hosp Infect. 2008;68(4):285-92.
- 5. Dumpis U, Balode A, Vigante D, Narbute I, Valinteliene R, Pirags V, et al. Prevalence of nosocomial infection in two Latvian hospital. Euro Surveill. 2003;8(3):73-78.
- Tschudin SS, Pargger H, Widmer AF. Hand hygiene in the intensive care unit. Crit Care Med 2010; 38(8 Suppl): S299-305.
- Azzam R, Dramaix M. A one day prevalence survey of hospital-acquired infections in Lebanon. J Hosp Infect. 2001; 49(1):74-78.
- Ansari SK, Gupta P, Jais M, Nangia S, Gogo S, Satia S, et al. Assessment of knowledge, attitude and practices regarding hand hygiene amongst the health care workers in a tertiary health care centre. Int J Pharm Res Health Sci. 2015;3(3):720-726.

- Gilbert K, Stafford C, Crosby K, Fleming E, Gaynes R. Does hand hygiene compliance among health care workers change when patients are in contact precaution rooms in ICUs? Am J Infect Control. 2010;38(7): 515-7.
- 10. Nobile CG, Mantuori P, Diaco E, Villari P. Healthcare personnel and hand decontamination in intensive care units: Knowledge, attitude and behavior in Italy. J Hosp Infect. 2002;51(3):226-32.
- 11. Pittet D. Improving adherence to hand hygiene practice: A multidisciplinary approach. Emerg Infect Dis. 2011;7(2): 234-40.
- Ekwere TA, Okafor IP. Hand hygiene knowledge and practices among health care providers in a tertiary hospital, Southwest Nigeria. Int J Infect Control. 2011; 9(4):1-10.
- Rumgay S, Macdonald S, Robertson CE. Hand washing pattern and infection control in the accident/emergency department. Arch Emerg Med. 1984;1(3):157-159.
- Gould DJ, Moralejo D, Drey N, Chudleigh JH. Interventions to improve hand hygiene compliance in patient care. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010;9:CD005186.
- Shinde MB, Mohita V. A study to assess knowledge, attitude and practices of five moments of hand hygiene among nursing staff and students at a tertiary care hospital at Karad. Intl J Sci Res. 2014;3(2): 311-321.
- Karabay O, Sencan I, Sahin I, Alpteker H, Ozcan A, Oksuz S. Compliance and efficacy of hand-rubbing during in-hospital practice. Med Prin Pract. 2005;14(5):313-317.
- Akyol AD. Hand hygiene among nurses in Turkey: Opinions and practices. J Clin Nurs. 2007;16(3):431-437.
- Ogunsola FT, Adesiji YO. Comparison of four methods of hand washing in situations of inadequate water supply. W Afr J Med. 2008;27(1):24-28.
- Hugonnet S, Perneger TV, Pittet D. Alcohol – based hand rub improves compliance with hand hygiene in intensive care units. Arch Intern Med. 2002;162(9): 1037-43.
- Abd Elaziz KM, Bakr IM. Assessment of knowledge attitude and practice of handwashing among healthcare workers in Ain

Shams University hospitals Cairo. J Prev Med Hyg. 2009;50(1):19-25.

- 21. Alex-Hart BA, Opara PO. Hand-washing practices amongst health workers in a teaching hospital. Am J Infect Dis. 2011;7(1):8-15.
- Sharma R, Sharma M, Koushal V. Hand washing compliance among healthcare staff in intensive care unit of a Multispecialty hospital of north India. J Hosp Adm. 2012;1(2):27- 33.
- 23. Amissah I, Salia S, Craymah JP. A study to assess hand hygiene knowledge and practice among health care workers in a teaching hospital in Ghana. Intl J Sci Res 2006;5(8):301-307.
- 24. Okojie C, Shimeles A. Inequality in sub-Saharan Africa: a synthesis of recent research on the levels, trends, effects and determinants of inequality in its different dimensions. London, UK: The Inter-Regional Inequality Facility; 2006.

Available: <u>https://www.equintafrica.org/</u>

25. Tobi KU, Enyi-Nwafor K. Hand washing practices and compliance among healthcare workers in the intensive care unit of a teaching hospital in Nigeria. Nig Med Pract. 2013;63(4).

Available:<u>https://www.ajol.info/index.php/n</u> mp/article/view/93830

- Bello S, Effa EE, Okokon EO, Oduwole OA. Hand washing practice among healthcare providers in a teaching hospital in southern Nigeria. Int J Infect Control. 2013;9(4):1-7.
- Ibrahim T. Research methodology and dissertation writing for the health and allied health professionals. Abuja: Cress Global links Ltd; 2009.
- Anargh V, Singh H, Kulkami A, Kotwal A, Mahen H. Hand hygiene practices among healthcare workers (HCWs) in a tertiary care facility in Pune. Med J Armed Forces India. 2013;69(1):54-56.
- Besha B, Gyche H, Chare D, Amare A, Kassahun A, Kebede E, et al. Assessment of hand washing practices and its associated factors among First Cycle Primary School children in Arba Minch town, Ethiopia, 2015. Epidemiology (Sunnyvale). 2016;6:247.

DOI: 10.4172/2161-1165.1000247.

 Ilesanmi OS, Ajele FO. The effect of Ebola Virus Disease outbreak on hand washing among secondary school students in Ondo

Ango et al.; IJTDH, 26(2): 1-9, 2017; Article no.IJTDH.36599

State, Nigeria, October 2014. Pan Afr Med J 2015;22(Suppl1):24.

DOI: 10.11694/pamj.supp2015.22.1.6614.

 CPPA. Study on the Ebola Virus Disease (EVD): knowledge, attitudes and practices of Nigerians in Lagos State. Lagos, Nigeria: Center for Public Policy Alternatives; 2014. Available:<u>https://www.cpparesearch.org/w</u>

p-content/uploads/2015/01/Knowledge-

Attitude-Practice-of-Nigerians-on-the-EVD Survey-Report 2014.pdf

 World Health Organization / United Nations' Children's Fund. Water, sanitation and hygiene in healthcare facilities: status in low- and middle income countries and the way forward. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO/UNICEF; 2017. Available:<u>htpps://www.who.int/water_sanit_ ation_health/publications/wash-healthcare-facilities/en/</u>

© 2017 Ango et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/21239