



Different Varieties of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) in Prayagraj Agro-Climatic Conditions

**Chinmoy Kumar Rout^{a*}, Anita Kerketta^a
and Vijay Bahadur^a**

^a *Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj-211007 (U.P), India.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i92284

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/101963>

Original Research Article

Received: 15/04/2023

Accepted: 19/06/2023

Published: 09/07/2023

ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted to evaluate the growth parameters, reproductive parameters and yield parameters of fifteen different varieties of cowpea in Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions. It was performed at the Research Field of the Department of Horticulture in the year 2022 between August and December. Simple RBD was used for the analysis, with three replications. The observations were made about several characters. Based on the observations recorded, Red Rose and Selection Hanghama were found to be the best varieties in terms of earliness, viz., days to 1st flowering (33.27 days) and (33.47 days) and days to 50% flowering (37.53 days) and (38.60 days), respectively. In terms of yield, Booster Cowpea and Kashi Kanchan were found to be the best over other varieties, viz., yield per plant (473.80 g) and (387.87 g), pod yield (17.55 t/h) and (14.37 t/h) respectively.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: routchinmoy@gmail.com;

Keywords: Cowpea; varieties; earliness; yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

The cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.] is an annual herbaceous legume from the genus *Vigna*. Its tolerance for sandy soil and low rainfall has made it an important crop in the semiarid regions across Africa and Asia. It requires very few inputs, as the plant's root nodules are able to fix atmospheric nitrogen, making it a valuable crop for resource-poor farmers and well-suited to intercropping with other crops [1,2]. The whole plant is used as forage for animals, with its use as cattle feed likely responsible for its name. It is mostly grown for the seeds and for its sensitive, long, green pods. With a chromosomal number of $2n-2x$ 22, it is a member of the Leguminosae family and suggests that domesticated cowpea originated in West or Central Africa. The crop is primarily farmed in intercropping systems, where the low plant population prevents the cultivars from reaching their maximum yield potential [3-6]. Even if this crop is comparatively more adapted to harsh environments, there are still significant obstacles that must be overcome in order to produce it. Cowpeas are legumes, which help to fix atmospheric nitrogen [7-10]. It utilises part of this for its own growth and development while also leaving some in the soil for companion and succeeding crops to benefit from [11].

Over the years, India has grown a variety of vegetables and it is the second largest producer of vegetables after China, with an estimated annual production of about 162.18 million tonnes from an area of 92.05 lakh ha and a productivity of 17.6 MT/ha. However, India only provides 275 g of vegetables per person per day, compared to a minimum requirement of 300 g per person per day. Cowpeas have been grown in India since ancient times. Lobia (Hindi), Barbati (Bengali), Urohi (Assami), Sonta (Garhwali), Chavati (Marathi), Alasanda (Telugu), and Manpyar are some of the local names for it (Malayalam). Cowpea is one of the most significant vegetable crops cultivated during the rainy and summer seasons among legumes. When dried, tender pods and green shelled seeds are consumed as a vegetable and a pulse. It can also be used as a green manure, fodder, cover, or catch crop. Due to their distinct climatic requirements, the performance of different Cowpea varieties differs under different agro climatic conditions. In order

to increase output, it is crucial to evaluate varieties for their variability in terms of growth, yield, and quality under various situations. In Uttar Pradesh, it is possible to cultivate the varieties created by private and public organisations commercially. These varieties' effectiveness in the agro climatic zone of Prayagraj has not been assessed. In this context, it is crucial to assess the commercially available varieties in order to find high yielding kinds.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fifteen different cowpea varieties were used in the experiment, which was performed using various sources listed in Table 1. At the Vegetable Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj (U.P.), the experiment was carried out using a randomized block design with three replications in the kharif season of 2022. The Department provided all of the necessary growing facilities, including labour. This chapter presents a detailed description of the resources used and the methods used for this investigation. All the results regarding the growth and pod yield of different varieties are presented graphically in Fig. 1.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 Number of Days to Germination

Number of days to germination varied from 7.00 to 5.73 DAS. The maximum days to germination was found in Ankur Ketaki (7.00 DAS), followed by (6.93 DAS) in the Improved Ak-57 and minimum days to germination (5.73 DAS) was found in the Selection Hanghama.

3.2 Plant Height at 60 DAS

Plant height at 60 days varied from 301.68 cm to 70.24 cm. The maximum Plant height at 60 days (301.68 cm) was found in Selection Hanghama, followed by SPL. Ganga (276.10cm) and minimum plant height at 60 days (70.24 cm) was found in the Kashi Kanchan. Similar findings were previously reported by Kandel P et al., [12].

Table 1. Performance of different cowpea varieties for growth and pod yield

Notations	Varieties	Sources	DAS for Germination	Plant Height at 60 DAS (cm)	No. of Branches on main stem	Days for 1 st Flowering (DAS)	Days for 50% Flowering (DAS)	No. of Cluster per plant	No. of Pods per Cluster	Pod Length (cm)	Pod Diameter (mm)	Single Pod Weight (g)	Pod Yield Per Plant (g)	Pod Yield Per hectare (t/h)
V1	Lobia Topaz 14	Sodhai Ram & Sons, Varanasi	6.00	88.87	11.71	34.07	41.80	7.58	2.67	33.87	7.13	14.43	325.40	12.05
V2	Ankur Hari	Ankur Seeds, Nagpur	6.40	108.53	9.80	36.20	44.20	5.96	2.82	26.53	6.00	14.47	248.08	9.19
V3	Sreemaa-1655	Sreemaa Seeds, Cuttack	6.40	113.77	10.47	39.13	43.93	6.64	2.67	25.17	5.20	13.30	235.17	8.71
V4	Improved Ak-57	Sultan Seeds, Srinagar	6.93	110.17	10.24	39.80	45.27	5.87	3.11	43.75	6.27	13.10	241.51	8.94
V5	Selection Hanghama	Mahashakti Seeds, Azamgarh	5.73	301.68	8.87	33.47	38.60	8.22	2.69	42.58	6.73	12.50	272.61	10.10
V6	SPL. Ganga	Mahashakti Seeds, Azamgarh	5.87	276.10	12.22	41.20	44.13	7.93	2.56	50.31	6.20	13.97	293.99	10.89
V7	Selection Anjali 108	Mahashakti Seeds, Azamgarh	5.87	80.94	11.02	35.73	42.93	5.24	3.20	36.01	7.33	15.23	260.50	9.65
V8	Ankur Ketaki	Ankur Seeds, Nagpur	7.00	83.89	10.64	37.47	45.33	5.09	2.76	37.49	6.93	15.83	196.56	7.28
V9	Red Rose	Comiezo Agri Science Ltd., Raipur	6.00	107.53	12.07	33.27	37.53	7.67	2.62	33.09	6.47	13.63	271.61	10.06
V10	Chitala Gagan	Sodhai Ram & Sons, Varanasi	6.33	94.78	10.47	40.47	45.40	6.67	2.87	34.61	6.67	15.73	298.76	11.07
V11	Maharani 36" Long	Annapurna Beej Bhandar, Midnapur	6.33	257.29	11.71	40.73	46.60	4.84	2.13	44.11	6.53	13.87	181.33	6.72
V12	Mousami Lafa	Annapurna Beej Bhandar, Midnapur	6.33	272.17	9.64	35.80	41.80	7.33	3.14	46.39	6.87	12.37	287.70	10.66
V13	Booster Cowpea	Annapurna Beej Bhandar, Midnapur	5.87	81.54	13.62	36.00	40.20	9.91	3.56	22.51	8.80	13.93	473.80	17.55
V14	Kashi Kanchan	IIVR, Varanasi	6.07	70.24	12.20	36.53	41.13	8.56	3.24	31.94	7.60	14.23	387.87	14.37
V15	Himani-06	Pearl Seeds, Delhi	6.73	78.09	12.87	36.80	44.33	5.84	2.64	32.74	5.40	15.50	242.36	8.98
F- test			S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
SE(m)			0.204	2.989	0.485	0.69	0.61	0.294	0.186	0.512	0.025	0.862	11.151	0.413
C. D. (@5%)			0.593	6.155	1.411	2.01	1.78	0.856	0.542	1.492	0.072	1.283	32.469	1.202
CV			5.63	2.584	7.515	3.20	2.56	7.386	11.306	2.461	6.375	10.554	6.869	6.863




Fig. 1. Performance of different cowpea varieties for growth and pod yield

3.3 Number of Branches on Main Stem

The number of branches per plant varied from 12.87 to 8.87. The Maximum Number of Branches on main stem per plant (12.87) was found in the Himani-06, followed by Red Rose (12.07) and minimum Number of Branches per plant (8.87) was found in the Selection Hanghama. Similar findings were previously reported by Sharma P et al., [12].

3.4 Days to First Flowering

The number of days to first flowering varied from 40.73 to 33.27 DAS. The minimum day to first flowering (33.27 DAS) was found in Red Rose, followed by Chitala Gagan (40.47 DAS). And the Maximum number of days to First Flowering (40.73 DAS) was found in the Maharani 36" Long. Similar findings were previously reported by Sharma P et al., [12].

3.5 Days to 50% Flowering

The number of days to first flowering varied from 46.60 to 37.53 DAS. Minimum days to 50% flowering (37.53 DAS) was found in Red Rose, followed by Chitala Gagan (45.40 DAS). And the Maximum number of days to 50% Flowering (46.60 DAS) was found in the Maharani 36" Long. Similar findings were previously reported by Sharma P et al., [12].

3.6 Clusters per Plant

Number of cluster per plant varied from 9.91 to 4.84. Maximum number of pods per cluster (9.91) was found in the Booster Cowpea, followed by Kashi Kanchan (8.56) and minimum number of pods per cluster (4.84) was found in Maharani 36" Long. Similar findings were previously reported by Subedi S et al., [12].

3.7 Pods per Cluster

Number of cluster per plant varied from 9.91 to 4.84. Maximum number of pods per cluster (9.91) was found in the Booster Cowpea, followed by Kashi Kanchan (8.56) and minimum number of pods per cluster (4.84) was found in Maharani 36" Long. Similar findings were previously reported by Subedi S et al., [12].

3.8 Pod Length (cm)

Length of the pod varied from 50.31 cm to 22.51 cm. Maximum pod length (50.31cm) was found in

the SPL. Ganga followed by Mousami Lafa (46.39 cm) and minimum pod length (22.51 cm) was found in the Booster Cowpea. Similar findings were previously reported by Gupta S et al., [12]

3.9 Pod Diameter (mm)

Pod diameter varied from 8.80 mm to 5.20 mm. Maximum pod diameter (8.80 mm) was found in the Booster Cowpea, followed by Kashi Kanchan (7.60 mm) and minimum pod diameter (5.20 mm) was found in the Sreemaa-1655. Similar findings were previously reported by Gupta S et al., [12].

3.10 Single Pod Weight (g)

Weight of single pod varied from 15.83 g to 12.37 g. Maximum weight of single pod (15.83 g) was found in the Ankur Ketaki, followed by Chitala Gagan (15.50 g) and minimum single pod weight (12.37 g) was found in the Mousami Lafa. Similar findings were previously reported by Gupta S et al., [12].

3.11 Pod Yield per Plant (g)

Maximum yield per plant (473.80 g) was found in the Booster Cowpea, followed by Kashi Kanchan (387.87 g). Minimum Yield per plant (181.33 g) was found in the Maharani 36" Long. Similar findings were previously reported by Kandel P et al., [12].

3.12 Pod Yield per Hectare (t/h)

Maximum yield per plant (473.80 g) was found in the Booster Cowpea, followed by Kashi Kanchan (387.87 g). Minimum Yield per plant (181.33 g) was found in the Maharani 36" Long. Similar findings were previously reported by Kandel P et al., [12].

4. CONCLUSION

The analysis of variance found significant variations between varieties, showing that all of the features have a sufficient level of variability. The Cowpea varieties Booster Cowpea and Kashi Kanchan were found as desired with a high fruit output per plant based on the mean replicated data on fifteen varieties quantitative traits.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Haisirikul P, et al. Yield performance of early-maturity cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) elitelines under four varied environments. Thai Journal of Agricultural Science. 2020;53(3):165-177. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e07890>
2. Mali VV, Kale VS, Nagre PK, Sonkamble AM, Jadhav PV, Hadole SS. Evaluation of cowpea genotypes for growth, yield and yield attributing characters; 2021.
3. Amin AU, Agalodia AV, Prajapati DB. Performance of cowpea varieties on growth, yield and quality parameters. Published in state seed committee (2013-2014).CRSS, Jagudan; 2014.
4. Asrat, Zewdu, Temesgen Begna, Abdulfeta Tariku. Evaluation of Yield and Yield Related Performance of Cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp] Varieties at West Hararghe Zone, Eastern Ethiopia.
5. Damoar, Kalusingh, Sharma RK, Pankaj Maida. Response of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*L.) varieties to under Malwa region of MadhyaPradesh. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2020;9(2):1749-1753.
6. El-Nahrawy, Shereen M. Agromorphological and genetic parameters of some cowpea genotypes. Alexandria Science Exchange Journal. 2018;39:56-64.
7. Nalawade AD, et al. Evaluation of Cowpea Germplasm by using Agro-Morphological Characters. Indian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2021;5(3):364-368.
8. Saurabh Toppo, Sushant Sahu. Studies based on performance of different genotypes of yard long bean (*Vigna unguiculata* ssp. *Sesquipedalis* (L.) Verdic.), Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2020;9(3):1810-1812.
9. Thapa B, Adhikari NR, Darai R, Kandel BP. Genetic Variability of Exotic Cowpea Genotypes for Agro-Morphological Traits in Mid-Western Region of Nepal. Alinteri Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 2021;36(1):47-54.
10. Agbogidi OM, Egho E. Evaluation of eight varieties of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp) in Asaba agro-ecological environment, Delta State, Nigeria. European Journal of Sustainable Development. 2012;1(2):303.
11. Nkoana DK, Gerrano AS, Boukar, Gwata ET. Agronomic performance and genetic variability of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) Accessions. Legume Res. 2019;42(6):757-762.
12. Kandel P, Sharma P, Subedi S, Gupta S, Bhattarai, M Basent. Germplasm Evaluation of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) in Dang District, JOJ Wildlife & Biodiversity, Juniper Publishers Inc. 2019;1(5):113-118.

© 2023 Rout et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/101963>