

*Archives of Current Research International*

*16(3): 1-16, 2019; Article no.ACRI.46679 ISSN: 2454-7077*

# **Effects of Aromatic Hydrocarbons and Marine Water from Niger Delta on the** *β***-Galactosidase Activity of Mutant** *Escherichia coli*

## **Bright Obidinma Uba1\***

*<sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology, Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, P.M.B. 02 Uli, Anambra State, Nigeria.*

*Author's contribution*

*The sole author designed, analysed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.*

#### *Article Information*

DOI: 10.9734/ACRI/2019/v16i330090 *Editor(s):* (1) Dr. Amal Hegazi Ahmed Elrefaei, Division of Radioisotope Production, Hot Lab and Waste Management Center, Atomic Energy Authority, Egypt. *Reviewers:* (1) P. Saravana kumara, Department of Microbiology, Rathnavel Subramaniam College of Arts and Science, India. (2) Dr. Sebastian Jooste, South Africa. Complete Peer review History: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/46679

*Original Research Article Article*

*Received 10 November 2018 Accepted 25 January 2019 Published 11 March 2019*

## **ABSTRACT**

**Aims:** To determine effects of aromatic hydrocarbons and marine water from Niger Delta on the *β*-Galactosidase activity mutant *Escherichia coli.*

**Study Design:** Fifteen treatments and the control designs were set up in triplicates in microtitre plates containing 200 µL of the 100% concentration of samples (three marine waters and distilled water spiked each with xylene, anthracene and pyrene). The fifteen treatments and control  $(HgCl<sub>2</sub>)$ set ups designated as A, B, C, D, E, F and G were used to determine their median effective concentration (EC<sub>50</sub>) for the inhibition of *β*-Galactosidase activity of mutant *Escherichia coli.* 

**Place and Duration of Study:** Department of Microbiology, Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Uli Nigeria between February, 2017 to July, 2017.

**Methodology:** A laboratory scale study was carried on the water samples from the three studied areas using physicochemical analyses and bacterial Toxi-chromo test.

**Results:** The findings revealed that the three sampling sites contain higher quantities of aromatic hydrocarbons, heavy metals and other physico-chemical parameters in the sediment samples than water samples. Xylene distilled water had the highest  $EC_{50}$  of 3.417  $\pm$  0.094 mg/l while pyrene Onne water had the least  $EC_{50}$  of 0.015  $\pm$  0.002 mg /L. Also, pyrene and anthracene are significantly ( $P = .05$ ) highly toxic aromatic hydrocarbons ( $EC_{50} < 1$  mg /L) while xylene is a

\_

significantly ( $P = .05$ ) toxic aromatic hydrocarbon (1 mg /L <  $EC_{50} \le 10$  mg /L) compare to the positive control (HgCl<sub>2</sub>) (EC<sub>50</sub> < 1 mg /L) indicating that enzyme inhibition among test samples were much different from the positive control.

**Conclusion:** Thus, the toxicity results (< 0.1 mg /L <  $EC_{50} \le 10$  mg /L) in this study indicate that the potential eco-toxicity and environmental health effects of these toxicants should be given attention in order to get rid of their dangerous outcomes.

*Keywords: Aromatic hydrocarbons; aquatic pollution; EC50; mutant Escherichia coli; public health; Niger Delta.*

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

The Niger Delta ecosystem of Nigeria is subjected to man-induced changes and seriously threatened by increasing environmental deterioration. The aquatic ecosystem of the region faces increasing ecological and toxicological problems from the release of petroleum pollutants Besides this direct pollution, the occasionally pipeline leaks, transportation accidents, storage tank ruptures and refining petroleum is further intensifying the pollution of this area [1].

Environmental contaminants such as hydrocarbons, heavy metals and pesticides have been known to have direct toxic effects when released into the aquatic environment. There is a direct link between surface water and sediment contamination. Accumulated heavy metals or organic pollutants in sediment could be released back into the water with deleterious effects on human health [2]. These pollutants and untreated industrial effluents can pose a major risk to the environment and aquatic life. For these reasons, many assays especially chemical and biological, have been developed to meet the demand of screening for toxic substances. It is important to assess the risks of these pollutants for environmental policy. Ecological risk assessment (ERA) is a tool to estimate adverse effects on the environment from chemical or physical stressors. Toxicity bioassays are the important line of evidence in an ERA [3,4].

Microbial toxicity tests are known to be fast, simple, and inexpensive. These properties of the tests have resulted in their ever-increasing use in environmental control, assessment of pollutants in waste, and so on. Toxicity test methods based on the reaction of microbes are useful in toxicity. In particular they can be a very valuable tool for the toxicity classification of samples from the same origin. Microbial tests can be performed using a pure culture of well-defined single species or a mixture of microbes. The variables

measured in toxicity tests may be lethality, growth rate, change in species diversity, decrease in degradation activity, and energy metabolism or activity of specific enzymes. The results are generally expressed as the dose– response concentration and the  $EC_{50}$  or  $EC_{10}$ value [4-9].

The Toxi-chromo test<sup>TM</sup> can be employed for qualitative measurements as well as experiments to quantify toxic potency. The assay is based on the ability of substances (toxicants) to inhibit the *de novo* synthesis of an inducible *β*galactosidase enzyme in a highly permeable mutant of *Escherichia coli*. Addition of a chromogen after an exposure period produces as easy to read colorimetric endpoint that measures *β*-galactosidase activity in the affected bacteria. Results can be interpreted qualitatively using the naked eye or quantitatively with a spectrophotometer and the assay can be<br>customized to meet client laboratory customized to meet client laboratory specifications. Comparing the amount of colour produced between a test sample and a reference sample provides a measure of toxic potency [3].

Several studies conducted emphasis the applications of Toxi-chromo test as a tool for the risk evaluation of contaminated sites, both in water and on land. Henda and van der Oost [10] reported that the sediments of three of the eight sites studied could be classified as toxic and one site even as very toxic. The outcome of the toxicity testing confirmed the results of the chemical analysis for only one site. Kwan [11] reported that highly permeable mutant of *E. coli was* responsive to a wide spectrum of pollutants including mycotoxins, pesticides and heavy metals. There is dearth of information regarding assessment of ecotoxicological risks of contaminants and polluted sites using bacterial and enzymatic bioassays for toxicity testing in crude oil – impacted Niger Delta marine ecosystem; owing to its increasing ecological and toxicological problems and hence necessitates and justifies this study. This study was

undertaken to determine effects of aromatic hydrocarbons and marine water from Niger Delta on the *β*-Galactosidase activity mutant *Escherichia coli.*

## **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

## **2.1 Description of the Sampling Sites**

The studied areas were Abonema Wharf Water Front (Fig. 1) in Akuku-Toru Local Government Area, Nembe Water Side (Fig. 2) in Port Harcourt Local Government Area and Onne Light Flow Terminal Seaport (Fig. 3) located in Eleme Local Government Area of Rivers State. Abonema town is 53 Km and Abonema Wharf Water Front is 3 - 5 Km from Port Harcourt capital city; Nembe Water Side is located within Port Harcourt capital city of Rivers State, while Onne Light Flow Terminal is about 35 Km east from Port Harcourt capital city of Rivers State and 7 Km from Onne town. These sites were geo referenced using Handheld Global Positioning System (GPS) GPSMAP 76 sc with the coordinates obtained from the sampling points or positions Abonema Wharf Water Front, Nembe Water Side and Onne Light Flow Terminal<br>Seaport were located between latitude Seaport were located between latitude 4°46'15.82"N to latitude 4°46'38.01"N and longitude 7°0'0.54"E to longitude 7°0'34.82"E with average elevation of 4.1 m, latitude 4°45'8.72"N to latitude 4°45'26.42"N and longitude 7°1'11.37"E to longitude 7° 2'14.54"E with average elevation of 2.7 m and latitude 4°41'32.58"N and 4°41'58.18"N and longitude 7°9'26.34"E and 7°10'48.82"E with average elevation of 2.3 m, respectively. These water ways are subjected to human - induced pressures resulting from urbanization,<br>industrialization and intensive navigation. industrialization and intensive Abonema Wharf Water Front community is a popular and busy commercial but dangerous jetty area close to Port Harcourt city inhabiting tens of thousands of different families living close to petroleum tank farms and tankers queue up daily to load refined petroleum products. Nembe Water Side is situated very close to Creek Road Market, Port Harcourt, Nigeria. It shares boundary with Bayelsa and links Port Harcourt city with Bonny Island where most of the oil installations in Rivers State are. It also links the Island directly with the Atlantic ocean through which crude oil is exported by massive oil tankers [12]. Onne Light Flow Terminal Seaport is a port of Nigeria and the largest oil and gas free zone in the world supporting exploration and production for Nigerian activities. It is situated on

the Bonny River Estuary along Ogu Creek and accounts for over 65% of the export cargo through the Nigerian Sea Port. Anthropological survey revealed the presence of human activities such as transportation of petrochemical products through tankers, canoes, boats and ships to neighbouring villages, towns, cities, states and nations due to the presence of multinational petrochemical and oil servicing industries such as Chevron Nigeria Limited, Cameron Offshore services, Exxon Mobil Nigeria Limited, Socotherm Pipecoaters, Beker Hughes Oil Servicing Company, Aiteo Energy Resource, Sorelink Oil and Dozzy Oil and gas et cetera that generate the wastes that contaminate the sites above.

## **2.2 Sample Collection and Processing**

Ten samples were collected randomly at each designated points in the three particular sampling sites (Figs. 1, 2 and 3) and mixed together after which a total of six representative sediment and water samples were taken for the analysis. The surface aerobic sediment samples were collected with a 95% ethanol - sanitized plastic spatula at 5 cm depth inside 95% ethanol - sanitized wide mouthed plastic containers. The water samples were collected at the air-water interface by hand dipping the 95% ethanol - sanitized cylindrical shaped 2 L plastic containers. The containers were rinsed with the sediment and water samples before collecting the samples. All the composite or representative sediment and water samples containers were placed into a sterile polythene bag and then transported to the laboratory for physicochemical and bacterial toxicity analyses [1,12-14].

## **2.3 Sample Preparation and Extraction**

Following the methods of Aruoja et al. [15] and Selivanovskaya et al. [4] with slight modifications, the sediment–water suspensions (10:100 w/v) were prepared by shaking the dry sediments with sterile distilled water for 24 hrs at room temperature (25  $\pm$  2°C). The particle free extracts were obtained using membrane filtration technique by filtering the suspensions through glass fiber filters ( $d = 0.45$  µm) and used for physicochemical and acute bacterial toxicity testing. Similarly, the marine water samples were prepared by filtering the suspensions through glass fiber filters ( $d = 0.45$  um) using membrane filtration technique to separate suspended and dissolved solids in the samples before analyses.

#### **2.4 Physicochemical Analyses of Sediment and Water Samples**

#### **2.4.1 Total aromatic hydrocarbons (TAH) content analysis**

TAH content was analyzed using scientific gas chromatographic system with flame ionization detector equipped with an on – column, automatic injector, mass spectroscopy, HP 88 capillary column (100 m x 0.25 µm film thickness) (M530 buck, CA USA) by adopting the standard method of AOAC [16].

#### **2.4.2 Total heavy metal concentration analysis**

Total heavy metal concentration of iron (Fe), cobalt (Co), copper (Cu), lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), zinc (Zn), nickel (Ni), mercury (Hg) and arsenic (As) were analyzed using atomic absorption spectrophometer with oxidizing air - acetylene flame (FS240AA-Agilent, USA) by adopting the standard method of APHA [17].

#### **2.4.3 General parameters analysis**

Following the standard method described by AOAC [16] and APHA [16], the pH of the samples were determined using a bench pH meter (PHS - 3CU, China); the conductivity and temperature were determined using a conductivity-temperature meter (DSS – 11A,

China). The moisture content of the samples were determined by weighing Petri dish and sample before and after drying in the oven (DHG- 9053AA, Life Assurance Scientific, UK) at 105°C for 3 hrs. The percentage particle sizes were determined after sieving to dry weight. The sediment porosity and bulk density were determined after oven-drying of the sediment samples at 105°C for 2 hrs. The nitrogen content was determined using Kjedahl technique. The phosphorus content was determined using spectrophotometric technique (Astell, UV - Vis Grating, 752 W). The potassium and calcium contents were analyzed using atomic absorption spectrophometer with oxidizing air - acetylene flame (FS240AA- Agilent, USA) using the standard method described by AOAC [16]. The soil saturation was determined by measuring the time taken for the water to drop completely from the soil core. The total organic carbon was determined by titrating blank containing oxidant (potassium chromate) and sulphuric acid against the sample and the titre value was recorded. The soil texture (sediment type) were determined using soil texture triangular method. The total dissolved solids (TDS), Total suspended solids (TSS) and Total solids (TS) were determined using dry weight method on the water samples. The chemical oxygen demand (COD), dissolved oxygen (DO) and biological oxygen demand (BOD) were determined using titrimetric techniques on the water samples. Each of these analyses were carried out in triplicate determinations.



**Fig. 1. Geoeye satellite image (2016) showing the Abonema sample points**

*Uba; ACRI, 16(3): 1-16, 2019; Article no.ACRI.46679*



**Fig. 2. Geoeye satellite image (2016) showing the Nembe sample points**



**Fig. 3. Geoeye satellite image (2016) showing the Onne sample points**

## **2.5 Acute Toxicity Testing**

#### **2.5.1 Analytical chemicals and reagents**

The aromatic compounds namely xylene, anthracene and pyrene were selected as revealed in the chemical characterization of the both sediment and water samples and are representatives of simple, lower and higher molecular weights aromatic hydrocarbons. Xylene of analytical grade was purchased from MERCK (PTY) Limited, South Africa (CAS NO:

1330 - 20 -7, C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>10</sub>, MW: 106.17 g/ mol: MP: -34°C, BP: 136°C, VP: 8.29 at 25°C). The test chemical is greater than or equal to 98.5% pure (HPLC). Anthracene of analytical grade was purchased from MERCK (PTY) Limited, South Africa (CAS: 129 - 00 - 0, C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>10</sub>, MW: 178.23 g /mol, MP: 213 - 216°C, BP: 342°C). The test chemical is greater than or equal to 96% pure (HPLC). Pyrene of analytical grade was purchased from Sigma Aldrich, UK (CAS: 129 – 00 - 0, C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>10</sub>, MW: 202.25 g/ mol, MP: 145-148°C (lit.), BP: 404°C). The test chemical is

greater than or equal to 98% pure (HPLC). Marine ALGALTOXKIT was purchased from Micro Biotests Inc. Belgium and used for acute toxicity testing study.

#### **2.5.2 Toxi-chromo test**

The Toxi - chromotest was carried out according to the standard method of EBPI [3] toxichromotest procedure version 4.0 as follows:

#### *2.5.2.1 Test bacterial strains*

Lyophilized bacteria (Bottle B) with a highly permeable rough mutant of *E. coli* (3 units, 0.2 g per vial)

#### *2.5.2.2 Standard toxicant*

4 µg /mL mercury chloride (Bottle D) in water (1 unit, 2 mL vial) was used as the positive control while diluent (Bottle G) for standard toxicant and samples (3 units, 10 mL vial) was used as the negative control.

#### *2.5.2.3 Other parameters*

Reaction mixture (Bottle A) - a cocktail containing an inducer for *β*-galactosidase and cofactors required for the recovery of the bacteria from their stressed condition. (3 units, 10 mL vial).

Rehydration solution (Bottle C) - a solution to rehydrate lyophilized bacteria prior to exposure (3 units, 10 mL vial).

Chromogenic substrate (Bottle F) - blue chromogen (3 units, 12 mL vial).

#### *2.5.2.4 Plate preparation*

One hundred microlitres of diluent from bottle G was dispensed to all other wells except row A containing undiluted sample and standard. Two hundred microlitres of standard toxicant (Bottle D) and 200 µL of the 100% concentration of samples (marine water and distilled water spiked with xylene, anthracene and pyrene) were dispensed to the appropriate wells of Row A. The required two - fold serial dilutions of each samples and the standard toxicant  $(HaCl<sub>2</sub>)$  were prepared by transferring 100 µL from well A of each column into the next well (B) and continued by serially transferring 100 µL until Well G and more dilutions starting in row A of the next column and down the column again were done to end with 13 dilutions per sample. One hundred microlitres of the diluent and highest sample concentration were dispensed to the wells in row H of the columns containing that sample to

create reagent blanks. To the blank row (H), 100 µL of reaction mixture (Bottle A) was dispensed to all wells of row H. These wells are the reagent blanks and contain no bacteria.

#### *2.5.2.5 Bacterial preparation and addition*

The rehydration solution in bottle C was transferred to bacteria in Bottle B, mixed and left at  $25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C for 15 minutes for complete rehydration. One millilitre of rehydrated bacteria from Bottle B was transferred to reaction mixture in Bottle A. One hundred microlitres of reaction mixture including bacteria (from Bottle A) was dispensed to all wells in the microplate except the reagent blank (row H). The plates were incubated in the incubator (Kottermann D3165, West Germany) at 37°C for 90 minutes. At this time, the chromogen (Bottle F) was warmed by placing it in the incubator.

#### *2.5.2.6 Chromogen addition and colour development*

The plates were removed from the incubator and 100 µL of the warmed chromogenic substrate (Bottle F) was dispensed to all wells of the plates. The plates were incubated in an incubator at 37°C for 30 minutes until a blue colour developed in the zero concentration wells or negative control wells (column 2).

#### *2.5.2.7 Reading and analysis of results*

The results were read quantitatively by measuring absorbance at 630 nm once sufficient blue colour development has occurred using a micro plate reader (MR – 96A MINDRAY, Germany). Results were determined by recording the lowest sample concentration that gave complete  $β$  – galactosidase synthesis inhibition, i.e. no colour development.

## **2.6 Data Analysis**

The data were analyzed using Graph-Pad Prism statistical software version 7.00 (GraphPad software Inc. San Diego, California). All values were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Ordinary one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post Tukey's, multiple comparison test was performed on the data obtained. Also, toxicity factor (TF), coefficient of variation (CV), Pearson coefficient of correlation and median effective concentration  $(EC_{50})$  were calculated and determined by the non – linear equation: Y=Bottom +  $(Top-Bottom) / (1+10^{\circ}$  ((LogEC<sub>50</sub>- $X$ <sup>\*</sup>Hill Slope)) where  $Y$  = response; bottom and top = Plateaus same units as in Y;  $LogEC_{50}$  =

same log units as  $X: X =$  Log of dose or concentration and Hill Slope = standard slope. The results were considered statistically significant and valid if the probability is less than .05 (*P* = .05) and replicates CV ≤ 25%.

### **3. RESULTS**

#### **3.1 Physico-Chemical Analyses**

#### **3.1.1 Total aromatic hydrocarbon (TAH) content analysis**

The result of the total aromatic hydrocarbon fractions (ppb) of sediment and marine water samples of the three sampling locations is presented in Table 1. From the result, there are more hydrocarbon fractions in sediment than water samples with anthracene  $(116.40 \pm 0.12)$ ppb) and pyrene  $(05.90 \pm 0.30 \text{ pb})$ hydrocarbons having the highest fractions in Nembe sediment and Onne water samples, respectively while 1, 2-benzoanthracene as well as benzo (b) fluoranthene and acenaphthylene were not available in sediment and marine water samples. There was no significant (*P* > .05) differences in the aromatic hydrocarbon fractions of three sampling sites.

#### **3.1.2 Total heavy metal concentration analysis**

The result of the total digestable heavy metal concentration (ppm) of sediment and marine water samples of the three sampled locations is presented in Table 2. From the result, there are more digestable heavy metal concentration in sediments than water samples with iron metal having the highest fractions in both Abonema sediment (110.24  $\pm$  0.20 ppm) and water (03.27 ± 0.25 ppm) samples, respectively. The order of concentration abundance of these metals in sediments from the three locations is in the sequence:  $Fe > Zn > Cu > Ni > Cr > Ha > Cd >$  $Co > Pb > As$  while the order of concentration abundance of these metals in the marine water from the three locations is in the sequence: Fe >  $Zn > Hg > Ni > Cd > Co > Cr > Cu > Pb > As.$ There was no significant  $(P > .05)$  differences in the aromatic hydrocarbon fractions of three sampling sites.

#### **3.1.3 General parameters analysis**

The result of the general parameters of the marine sediment and water samples of the three sampled locations are presented in Table 3 and Table 4. From the tables, conductivity at 25°C (EC25) for Onne, Abonema and Nembe

sediments are 2.41 ± 0.25 dS /cm, 2.06 ± 0.31 dS /cm and  $1.99 \pm 0.02$  dS /cm while Onne, Abonema and Nembe waters are  $6.99 \pm 0.27$  dS /cm,  $1.97 \pm 0.03$  dS /cm and  $1.32 \pm 0.13$  dS /cm. respectively. Onne sediment and water samples exhibited lower pH values (06.72  $\pm$  0.02 and 06.41  $\pm$  0.02) followed by Abonema (07.47  $\pm$ 0.02 and 06.55  $\pm$  0.02) and then Nembe (07.16  $\pm$ 0.02 and 07.31  $\pm$  0.16), respectively. All the sediment samples had greater percentage of sand followed by clay and then silt with Onne sediment having the highest sand percentage of 59.72 ± 0.02%. Grain size measurements of superficial sediments revealed that Abonema and Nembe contained clayey loam whereas Onne contained sandy loam. Nembe had the highest moisture content, porosity, bulk density and total organic carbon of  $85.94 \pm 33.11\%$ , 0.04  $\pm$  0.00, 01.72  $\pm$  0.00 g /mL and 17.82  $\pm$  0.03% and lowest soil saturation time of  $18.00 \pm 0.03$ minutes which contained clayey loam sediment type. Nembe had highest total nitrogen (TN) of  $3800.00 \pm 0.01$  mg /L and  $970.00 \pm 0.01$  mg /L whereas Onne and Abonema had highest total phosphorus (TP), potassium (K) and calcium (Ca) content of  $05.50 \pm 0.00$  mg /L and  $03.72 \pm 0.00$ 0.02 mg /L; 11.45  $\pm$  0.00 ppp and 04.60  $\pm$  0.01 ppm; and  $12.78 \pm 0.02$  ppm and  $06.30 \pm 0.01$ ppm for both sediment and water samples respectively. Furthermore, Abonema water having the highest COD (210.00  $\pm$  2.52 mg/mL), DO (25.30  $\pm$  0.08 mg /L), BOD (106.60  $\pm$  65.56 mg /L), TDS (07.48  $\pm$  0.01 mg /L), TSS (0.15  $\pm$ 0.00 mg /L) and TS (07.63  $\pm$  0.01 mg) followed by Nembe and Onne water samples. The COD and BOD values were found to be higher than WHO standards except TDS, TSS and TS that were found below the maximum recommended limits. Non-significant differences (*P* > .05) were detected in all the sampled parameters

#### **3.2 Acute Toxicity Testing**

#### **3.2.1 Toxi–chromo test**

The result of the absorbance values of the toxicity of the aromatic hydrocarbons in distilled water and marine water of the three sampled locations using Toxi-Chromotest at different dilutions and as well as microplate test result for the Toxi – chromo testing of aromatic aromatic hydrocarbons and marine water samples are presented in Table 5 and Plate 1. From the result, pyrene and xylene hydrocarbons in distilled water had the highest and lowest absorbance values of  $0.398 \pm 0.002$  and  $0.097 \pm 1$ 0.002 as indicated in bold red coloured figures, respectively. The result of the toxicity factor (TF)

of the aromatic hydrocarbons in distilled water and marine water of the three sampled locations is shown in Fig. 1. From the Figure, pyrene in Nembe water had the highest toxicity factor of 273.200  $\pm$  0.163 with CV and r<sup>2</sup> of 17.320% and 0.097 and xylene in distilled water had the lowest toxicity factor of 07.300  $\pm$  0.170 with CV and r<sup>2</sup> of 7.980% and 0.256 showing no significant (*P* > .05) weak positive correlation, respectively. In the same vein, the result of the mean 1.5 hr  $EC_{50}$ (mg /L) toxic response of mutant *E. coli* to the aromatic hydrocarbons in distilled and marine water samples is shown in Fig. 2. From the Figure, xylene distilled water had the highest  $EC_{50}$  of 3.417  $\pm$  0.094 mg /L while pyrene Onne water had the least  $EC_{50}$  of 0.015  $\pm$  0.002 mg/L.

## **4. DISCUSSION**

The risk assessment of contaminated objects is mainly based on the chemical analyses of a priority list of toxic substances. This analytical approach does not allow for mixture toxicity, nor does it take into account the bioavailability of the pollutants present. In this respect, bioassays provide an alternative because they constitute a measure for environmentally relevant toxicity, that is, the effects of a bioavailable fraction of an interacting set of pollutants in a complex environmental matrix [4,6]

In this study, an attempt was made to determine effects of aromatic hydrocarbons and marine sediments from Niger Delta on the *β* – galactosidase activity of mutant *E. coli* and the result in Table 1 showed that the detectable hydrocarbons values were above the WHO standard for PAHs (50 ng /L) in water. The reason may be due to the hydrophobic and insoluble nature of aromatic hydrocarbons to water molecules making them to adsorb more on the surface of sediments than water samples. Also, this result suggest that Nembe Water Side is more polluted than other sampled locations probably due higher particle sizes, higher total<br>organic contents and the numerous organic contents and the numerous anthropogenic activities that go on there, as a result of introducing and absorbing more aromatic hydrocarbons. The result is similar to the work done by Gorleku et al. [14], who reported that total mean concentrations of the PAHs in the sea water are generally less than concentrations in sea sediments. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons are non - polar, hydrophobic compounds which do not ionize. They have a relatively low solubility in water, but are highly lipophilic. Dissolved and colloidal

organic fractions also enhance the solubility of PAHs which are incorporated into micelles. Due to their hydrophobic nature, PAHs entering the aquatic environment exhibit a high affinity for suspended particulates in the water column. As PAHs tend to adsorb to these particles, they are eventually settled out of the water column onto the bottom sediments. Thus, the PAH concentrations in water are usually quite low relative to the concentrations in the bottom sediments. Emoyan [18], reported the concentration range of 0.2309 to 1.0468 mg  $L^{-1}$ for PAHs in surface water due to contamination from Kokori - oil field in the Niger-Delta. Fluorene was the dominant of the 16 PAHs priority pollutants investigated. The source of water contamination was identified to be mainly petrogenic.

Heavy metal pollution in the marine environment is determined by measuring its concentration in water, sediment and living organisms [19]. The result in Table 6 revealed that all metals except iron were lower and within WHO guidelines for water samples but higher for sediment samples in comparison to the WHO [20] maximum permissible recommended limits. The studied elements are individually known to be mutagens and carcinogens. In other words, they are toxicants. The higher levels of these heavy metals in sediment of the coastal water could be attributed to industrial and agricultural discharges, iron, steel and sewage materials from vessels and residential area and possible spills of petroleum products from fishing boat, speed boat and ships used as means of transportation over the years. Similar observations were made by Obiajunwa et al. [21], who reported that the enrichment factors for Sr, Zn, Pb, Ba and Fe were very high for every soil, sediment and solid waste samples in Niger Delta, Nigeria. The study summarize that there is significant relationship between heavy metal pollution and crude oil production industry which may be that spillage have occurred in the process of production. This is very harmful because the high contamination of heavy metal is very dangerous to both aquatic environment and human health. Owamah [22], reported that the enrichment factors for Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Ni, and Pb were very high for water and sediment samples in Niger Delta, Nigeria. Olusola and Festus [19], reported that the concentrations of Cd, Cu, Cr, Pb and Zn in the sediment samples of coastal waters of Ondo State Niger Delta were much below the probable effect concentration of sediment metals levels. Also, by comparing the concentrations of heavy metals analyzed in the water and sediments samples, it can be concluded that heavy metals are highly accumulated in sediments than water confirming what have earlier been reported that sediments act as reservoir for all contaminants and dead organic matter depending on the sites partitioning behaviour [19,23].

The result in Tables 3 and 4 revealed that Onne water and sediment have greater ion contents and more salty than other sampling locations although there can be natural variability such as temperature, tidal and seasonal flushing. Also, they give surrogate values of levels of salinities and total dissolved solids, (TDS) and the samples were found to be acidic and neutral. Hassanshahian et al. [24], reported that the electrical conductivity of the Persian Gulf sediments was 6.5 (dS /m) in comparison with 8.4 (dS /m) value in the Caspian Sea sediments. Interestingly, the Persian Gulf is located in the South of Iran with warm weather and oil production area while the Caspian Sea is located in the North of Iran with rainy and temperate weather. The acidic pH value of the sandy loam sample could be interconnected with buildup of acidic metabolites and low mineral contents of the soil [25]. All the sediment samples had greater percentage of sand followed by clay and then silt. This observation is similar to the work carried out by Amer et al. [26] that the sediments collected from the stations P, Q, and R located in El-Max district bay Mediterranean Sea Egypt are mainly composed of sand (85.82 – 95.62%) while the sediment of station S displayed a different composition, containing approximately the same percentage of sand (39.41%) and silt (34.39%) and a higher proportion of clay (26.20%) compared to the rest of the stations  $(0 - 5.49\%).$ The result also revealed that the differences in the water content, porosity, bulk density, TOC, soil saturation and grain size as they are known to influence the solubility of elements and nutrients in marine sediments, ultimately affecting the distribution of metals and other pollutants that preferentially bind to fine particles [26], determining as a consequence that the three sampled locations analyzed constitute different environmental niches. Both particle size and total organic content of sediments have been shown to be important factors in sediment PAH distribution, suggesting a particle size effect due to differences in adsorptive surface area [17]. All the sampled locations showed high content of inorganic nutrients and exchangeable bases and the possible reasons for these occurrences may be due to pH and human activities observed

along the study area which include agricultural land use and farming operation, anthropogenic activities and industrialization. This report slightly contradicts the findings of Amer et al. [26] who reported that all the stations showed total nitrogen content below 0.2% w/v. Stations R and S showed a high content of total phosphorous with 0.83 and 0.59 ppm respectively. Oyedele et al. [27] reported that low pH (acidic) favours the abundance of exchangeable anions, but reduced cation, while high pH (basic) favours the abundance of exchangeable cations, but reduced anions in soils. Plants growing around the river in which the water has been discharged may experience excessive growth due to these nutrients. In the same vein, fish consumed from the river by humans will definitely have an adverse effect on them [28]. In addition, all the sampled locations showed high content of chemical oxygen demand (COD), oxygen demand (OD) and biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) but low content of total dissolved solids (TDS), total suspended solids (TSS) and total solids (TS). The COD and BOD were found to be higher than WHO [20] standards except TDS, TSS and TS that were found below the maximum recommended limits. Ogunfowokan et al. [29], observed significant elevation of water indices such as pH, BOD, nitrate, phosphate and TSS. It is well known that oxygen depletion in water bodies could cause fish death while increase in BOD signifies high load of organic matter. Also, organic matter decomposition in surface water produced inorganic nutrients such as ammonia, nitrate and phosphorus with resultant effects of eutrophication and other serious ecological problems of such water body.

The result in Table 5 revealed that pyrene and xylene hydrocarbons in distilled water had the highest and lowest absorbance values indicating the sample concentrations gave complete *β*galactosidase synthesis inhibition i.e. no colour development. The result in Fig. 1 revealed that pyrene in Nembe water sample had very high significant (*P = .*05) acute toxicity than other samples. The trend of toxicity of the hydrocarbons is pyrene > anthracene > xylene showing that the increase in the number of benzene rings in aromatics hydrocarbons increases their levels of toxicity. The toxicity factor of aromatic hydrocarbon contaminated wastewaters was generally more than hydrocarbon contaminated distilled water. The reasons could be due to other bioavailable toxicants present in the sampled locations. Adenike [28], reported that the genotoxic effect of the tobacco waste effluent as validated from the various tests of his study can be a result of the interactions of heavy metals which can be more deleterious than the individual effects. All the samples had their coefficient of variation (CV %) to less than 25 thereby proving the biological validity criterion of the test and which collaborate with guidelines of EBPI [3] that for Toxi-<br>ChromoTest<sup>™</sup> quantitative results to be quantitative results to be considered valid, the CV between the absorbance values of negative controls and sample replicates must be less than 25 percent. The result of the mean 1.5 hr  $EC_{50}$  (mg /L) toxic response of mutant *E. coli* to the aromatic hydrocarbons in distilled and marine water samples is shown in Fig. 2. From the Figure, xylene distilled water had the highest  $EC_{50}$  of  $3.417 \pm 0.094$  mg /L while pyrene Onne water had the least  $EC_{50}$  of 0.015  $\pm$  0.002 mg /L. There was significant differences (*P = .*05) detected between the sample treatments and the positive control  $(HgCl<sub>2</sub>)$ . The levels of toxicity class are drawn in accordance with International drawn in accordance Regulations and National Legislative Program [30-32] as followed: Highly toxic -  $LC_{50}$  /  $EC_{50}$  < 1 mg /L; Toxic – 1 mg /L <  $LC_{50}$ /EC<sub>50</sub>  $\leq$  10 mg /L; Harmful /hazardous for aquatic environment – 10 mg /L <  $LC_{50}$  /EC<sub>50</sub>  $\leq$  100 mg /L; Very low toxic, non-toxic -  $LC_{50}$  /EC<sub>50</sub> > 100 mg /L. Generally, it could be deduced that pyrene and anthracene are highly toxic aromatic hydrocarbons ( $EC_{50}$  < 1 mg /L) while xylene is a toxic aromatic hydrocarbon (1 mg /L <  $EC_{50} \leq 10$  mg /L) compare to the positive control (HgCl<sub>2</sub>) (EC<sub>50</sub> < 1 mg /L) indicating that enzyme inhibition among test samples were much different from the positive control. These toxicity results  $(EC_{50})$  are in line with other toxicity values for this type of pollutants as specified in the regulations above [30-32]. They are therefore consider being scientifically relevant and can be used in aquatic risk assessment. Previous research has demonstrated that Toxi-ChromoPad\_solid phase test to be sensitive for detecting bioavailable toxicants in sediments [33]. Davoren et al. [34] reported that based on the  $EC_{50}$  results for bioassay, Ballymacoda, Douglas and the East Wall sites estuarine sediments of Irish Coast containing polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and heavy metals were ranked as non-, moderately- and very toxic, respectively. Aruoja et al. [15], also found out that the  $EC_{50}$  values of *V. fischeri* 15 minutes luminescence inhibition were experimentally determined for 28 aniline and 30 phenol compounds. Despite the fact that the analyzed molecules were structurally similar, the  $EC_{50}$  values spanned three orders of magnitude ranging from 0.37 mg /L (2, 3, 5 trichlorophenol) to 491 mg /L (aniline). The toxicity of the studied compounds was dependent on the type (chloro -, methyl -, ethyl -), number (mono -, di -, tri -) and position (ortho -, meta -, para -) of the substituents. As a rule, the higher the number of substituents, the higher the toxicity. The chloro - substituted molecules were generally more toxic than alkyl - substituted ones. The findings in their  $EC_{50}$  values were clearly higher than our results especially regarding the xylene hydrocarbon having comparable structural similarities with aniline and phenol compounds. The reason for this differences could be due to the organism's responses which differ from our mutant *E. coli* used in our study.





*ABSE = Abonema sediment; NESE = Nembe sediment; ONW = Onne water, ABW = Abonema water, NEW = Nembe water;* O*NSE= Onne sediment; NA = Not available; ppb = part per billion; values are mean ± Standard deviation of triplicate determination; Detection limit: PAH = 0.0001 ug /g; xylene, anthracene and naphthalene = 0.002 µg /mL.*



#### **Table 2. Total digestable heavy metal concentration (ppm) of sediment and marine water samples of the three sampled locations**

*ABSE = Abonema sediment; NESE = Nembe sediment; ONW = Onne water, ABW = Abonema water,ONSE = Onne sediment; NEW = Nembe water; ppm = part per million;values are mean ± Standard deviation of triplicate determination; ND = Not detected; Detection limit = Fe, Co,Pb, Cd, Cr, Ni and As = 0.0002 ppm; Cu and Zn = 0.0001 ppm; Hg = 0.0005 ppm.*

#### **Table 3. General parameters of marine sediment samples of the three sampled locations**



*TN = Total nitrogen; TP = Total phosphorus; TOC = Total organic carbon*

#### **Table 4. General parameters of marine water samples of the three sampled locations**



*TDS = Total dissolved solid; TSS = Total suspended solids; TS = Total solids; COD = Chemical oxygen demand; DO = Dissolved oxygen; BOD = Biological oxygen demand; TN = Total nitrogen; TP = Total phosphorus*



**Fig. 1. Toxicity factor (TF) of the aromatic hydrocarbons in distilled water and marine water of the three sampled locations**



**Fig. 2. Mean 1.5 hr EC<sup>50</sup> (mg /L) toxic response of mutant** *E. coli* **to the aromatic hydrocarbons in distilled water and marine water**

<b>Sample</b>	<b>Positive</b>	Negative	Xylene	<b>Xylene</b>	Xylene	Xylene	Anthracene	Anthracene	Anthracene	Anthracene	<b>Pyrene</b>	<b>Pyrene</b>	Pyrene	<b>Pyrene</b>	Abonema	Nembe	Onne
	control	control													water	water	water
	(HgCl <sub>2</sub> )		<b>Distilled</b>	Abonema	Nembe	Onne	<b>Distilled</b>	Abonema	<b>Nembe</b>	Onne	<b>Distilled</b>	Abonema	Nembe	Onne			
			water	water	water	water	water	water	water	water	water	water	Water	water			
Undiluted	0.146	0.156	0.142	0.121	0.146	0.159	0.155	0.188	0.183	0.294	0.398	0.332	0.268	0.167	0.270	0.183	0.254
⅓	0.127	0.156	0.122	0.146	0.128	0.135	0.155	0.236	0.150	0.235	0.240	0.330	0.230	0.178	0.258	0.190	0.249
¼	0.135	0.138	0.115	0.123	0.134	0.107	0.115	0.216	0.235	0.197	0.271	0.274	0.213	0.186	0.229	0.204	0.232
1/8	0.138	0.128	0.142	0.123	0.124	0.112	0.163	0.199	0.217	0.190	0.220	0.256	0.273	0.192	0.234	0.223	0.294
1/16	0.120	0.138	0.127	0.123	0.112	0.123	0.171	0.243	0.186	0.179	0.250	0.254	0.197	0.183	0.236	0.183	0.267
1/32	0.127	0.128	0.123	0.123	0.118	0.117	0.145	0.217	0.152	0.190	0.243	0.234	0.182	0.188	0.225	0.169	0.231
1/64	0.117	0.127	0.126	0.120	0.113	0.104	0.194	0.233	0.165	0.219	0.265	0.224	0.287	0.192	0.260	0.219	0.245
1/128			0.199	0.126	0.138	0.146	0.101	0.173	0.184	0.230	0.235	0.234	0.200	0.184	0.226	0.143	0.234
1/256			0.123	0.123	0.123	0.165	0.155	0.177	0.169	0.185	0.174	0.218	0.315	0.137	0.242	0.170	0.207
1/512			0.108	0.105	0.132	0.133	0.176	0.211	0.167	0.177	0.178	0.174	0.226	0.119	0.199	0.308	0.103
1/1024			0.113	0.111	0.138	0.161	0.156	0.182	0.155	0.155	0.218	0.185	0.276	0.144	0.289	0.196	0.316
1/2048			0.097	0.119	0.126	0.152	0.136	0.188	0.174	0.190	0.236	0.234	0.226	0.141	0.214	0.244	0.239
1/4096			0.123	0.112	0.131	0.153	0.175	0.189	0.166	0.181	0.259	0.208	0.308	0.161	0.308	0.238	0.282
Sample			0.119	0.133	0.123	0.141	0.877	0.189	0.171	0.121	0.318	0.184	0.244	0.202	0.280	0.228	0.214
<b>Blank</b>																	
<b>Blank</b>		0.186	0.1755	0.195	0.2205	0.230	0.2325	0.259	0.228	0.252	0.306	0.5705	0.286	0.220	0.297	0.250	0.514

**Table 5. Absorbance values of the toxicity of the aromatic hydrocarbons in distilled water and marine water of the three sampled locations using Toxi-chromotest at different dilutions**



**Plate 1. Microplate test result for Toxi - chromo testing of aromatic hydrocarbon compounds and marine water samples**

## **5. CONCLUSION**

To our knowledge, this is one of the first systematic studies on effects of marine water on bacterial enzyme inhibition and first description of toxic effects of aromatic hydrocarbons towards bacterial activities in the Niger Delta, Nigeria. Based on the experimental results in this research, it can be concluded that:

- There were higher quantities of aromatic hydrocarbons, heavy metals and other physico-chemical parameters in the sediment samples than water samples.
- Aromatic hydrocarbons and sediment samples combination had acute dose dependent eco-toxicological effects on mutant *E. coli* than the individual test samples.
- Pyrene in Nembe water had the highest toxicity factor while xylene in distilled water had the lowest toxicity factor.
- The toxic response to mutant *E. coli* is in the order: Pyrene > anthracene > xylene and Onne water > Abonema water > Nembe water.
- The chemical characterization and bioassay results (< 0.1 mg /L <  $EC_{50} \le 10$ mg /L) in this study indicate the potential ecotoxicity and environmental health effects of these toxicants and further hazard assessment of estuarine and

coastal waters in Niger Delta, where, at present the monitoring of sediment and water quality is predominantly reliant on chemical analysis alone is warranted.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The research work described in this paper was supported by fund from the year 2012/2013 (merged) Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFUND) Academic Staff Training and Development of Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Uli Campus. Also, I want to thank in a special way Prof. (Dame) E. I. Chukwura who supervised the work.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

## **REFERENCES**

- 1. John RC, Okpokwasili GC. Crude oildegradation and plasmid profile of nitrifying bacteria isolated from oil impacted mangrove sediment in the Niger Delta of Nigeria. Bull Environ ContamToxicol. 2012;88:1020-26.
- 2. Taiwo AM, Olujimi OO, Bamgbose O, Arowolo TA. Surface water quality monitoring in Nigeria: Situational analysis

and future management strategy, water quality monitoring and asse9ssment. Dr. Voudouris (Ed.). InTech. 2012;978-953-51- 0486-5.

(Accessed 05 April 2017) Available:http://www.intechopen.com/book s/waterquality-monitoring-andassessment/surface-water-qualitymonitoring-in-nigeria-situational-analysisand-futuremanagement- strategy

- 3. Environmental Bio-detection Products Incorporation. Biotoxicity/microbiotest products handout and manual. Antario Canada: Environmental Bio-detection Products Incorporation; 2016.
- 4. Selivanovskaya SY, Latypova VZ, Stepanova NY, Hung YT. Bioassay of industrial waste pollutants. In: Wang LK, Hung YT, Lo HH, Yapijakis C, editors. Waste treatment in the process industries. LCC, USA: CRC Press Taylor & Francis Group; 2006.
- 5. Van Beelen P, Doelmann P. Significance and application of microbial toxicity tests inassessing ecotoxicological risks of contaminants in soil and sediment. Chem. 1997;34:455–99.
- 6. Kapanen A, Itavaara M. Ecotoxicity tests for compost applications. Ecotox. Environ. Safe. 2001;49:1–16.
- 7. Ronnpagel K, Janssen E, Ahlf W. Asking for the indicator function of bioassays evaluating soil contamination: Are bioassay results reasonable surrogates of effects on soil microflora? Chem. 1998;36: 1291–304.
- 8. Blaise C. Microbiotests in aquatic ecotoxicology: Characteristics, utility, and prospects. Environ. Toxic. Water Qual. 1991;6:145–55.
- 9. Bitton G, Koopman B. Bacterial and enzymatic bioassays for toxicity testing in the environment. Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 1992;125:1–22.
- 10. Heida H, van der Oost R. Sediment pore water toxicity testing. Water Sci Tech. 1996;34(7-8): 109-116.
- 11. Kwan KK. Direct sediment toxicity testing procedure using sediment chromotest kit. Environ. Toxicol. Wat. Qual. 1995;9:193–6.
- 12. Chikere CB, Okpokwasili GC, Ichiakor O. Characterization of hydrocarbon utilizing bacteria in tropical marine sediments. Afr J Biotech. 2009;8(11):2541-4.
- 13. Abu GO, Chikere BO. Cell surface properties of hydrocarbon utilizing bacterial isolates from the Port Harcourt marine

environment. Nig J Microbiol. 2006;20(1): 809-16.

- 14. Gorleku MA, Carboo D, Palm LMN, Quasie WJ, Armah AK. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) pollution in marine waters and sediments at the Tema Harbour, Ghana. Acad J Environ Sci. 2014;2(7):108-15.
- 15. Aruoja V, Sihtmäe M, Dubourguier HC, Kahru A. Toxicity of 58 substituted anilines and phenols to algae *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata* and bacteria *Vibrio fischeri*: Comparison with published data and QSARs. Chem. 2011;84(10):1310-20.
- 16. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Official method of analysis.  $19<sup>th</sup>$  edn. Washington DC: Association of Official Analytical Chemists; 2012.
- 17. American Public Health Association. Standard methods for examination of water and wastewater. 22<sup>nd</sup> edn. Washington DC (USA): American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association and Water Environment Federation; 2012.<br>Emovan OO. Quantification and
- 18. Emoyan OO. Quantification and<br>distribution of polynuclear aromatic distribution of polynuclear hydrocarbons (PAHs) in surface waters in the vicinity of Kokori Oil Field, Nigeria. J ApplSci Environ Manag. 2009;13(4):39-42.
- 19. Olusola JO. Festus AA. Assessment of heavy metals in some marine fish species relevant to their concentration in water and sediment from coastal waters of Ondo State, Nigeria. J Mar Sci Res Dev*.*  2015;5:163.
- 20. World Health Organization. Guidelines for drinking water quality Geneva. Geneva (Switzerland): World Health Organization; 1993.
- 21. Obiajunwa EI, Pelemo DA, Owolabi SA, Fasasi MK, Johnson-Fatokun FO. Characterisation of heavy metal pollutants of soils and sediments around a crude-oil production terminal using EDXRF. NuclInstrum Meth Phys Res. 2002;194(1):61-4.
- 22. Owamah HI. Heavy metals determination and assessment in a petroleum impacted River in the Niger Delta Region of Nigeria. J Petr Environ Biotech. 2013;4(1):1-4.
- 23. Bazzi AO. Heavy metals in seawater, sediments and marine organisms in the Gulf of Chabahar, Oman Sea. J Ocean Mari Sci. 2014;5(3):20-9.
- 24. Hassanshahian M. The effects of crude oil on marine microbial communities in sediments from the Persian Gulf and the

Caspian Sea: A microcosm experiment. Internat J Adv Biol Biomed Res. 2014;2(1):1-17.

- 25. Ijah UJ, Abioye OP. Assessment of physicochemical and microbiological properties of soil 30 months after kerosene spill. Internat J Res SciManagt. 2003;1(1): 24-30.
- 26. Amer RA, Mapelli F, El-Gendi HM, Barbato M, Goda DA, Corsini A, et al. Bacterial diversity and bioremediation potential of the highly contaminated marine sediments at El-Max District (Egypt, Mediterranean Sea). Biomed Res Internat. 2015;981-9.
- 27. Oyedele DJ, Gasu MB, Awotoye OO. Changes in soil properties and plant uptake of heavy metals on selected municipal solid waste dump site in Ile-Ife, Nigeria. Afr J Environ Sci Tech. 2008;3(5): 107-15.
- 28. Adenike A. Microbiological, physicchemical and genotoxicological assessment of tobacco wastewater. Adv Appl Sci Res. 2014;5(3):423-38.
- 29. Ogunfowokan AO, Okoh EK, Adenuga AA, Asubiojo OI. An assessment of the impact of point source pollution from a university sewage treatment oxidation pond on a receiving stream – a preliminary study. J Appl Sci*.* 2005;5(1):36-43.
- 30. American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). Standard methods for conducting acute toxicity tests with fishes, macro

invertebrates, and amphibians: Annual Book of ASTM Standards. Philadelphia: ASTM; 1992.

- 31. Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection (GESAMP), (IMO/FAO/UNESCO-OC/WMO/WHO/IAEA/UN/UNEP). Towards safe and effective use of chemicals in coastal aquaculture: Reports and studies. 65 ed. Rome: GESAMP; 1997.
- 32. Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD). Summary of considerations in the report from the OECD expert group on ecotoxicology: OECD guideline for the testing of chemicals. OECD; 2006. (Accessed 09 July 2011) Available:http://www.oecdilibrary.org/environment/oecd-guidelinesfor-the-testing-of-chemicalssection-2 effects-on-biotic-systems\_20745761
- 33. Kwan KK, Dutka BJ. Comparative assessment of two solid-phase toxicity bioassays: The direct sediment toxicity testing procedure (DSTTP) and the Microtox\_ solid- phase test (SPT). Bull Environ Contam Toxicol. 1995;55:338- 46.
- 34. Davoren MN, Shúilleabháin S, O´Halloran J, Hartl MGJ, Sheehan D, O´Brien NM, et al. A test battery approach for the ecotoxicological evaluation of estuarine sediments. Ecotoxicol. 2005;14:741-55.

*© 2019 Uba; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/46679*